UKR Journal of Economics, Business and Management (UKRJEBM)
Homepage: https://ukrpublisher.com/ukrjebm/
Email: submit.ukrpublisher@gmail.com
Volume 2, Issue 8, 2026
ISSN: 3049-429X (Online)

Ethical Decision-Making in Business and its SDGS Implications

Dr. Biedima Sowari Jaja[1] & Amb. Nnordee Bariagara King David, Ph.D [2]

[1]Department of Entrepreneurship, Ignatius Ajuru university of Education, Port Harcourt, Rivers state, Nigeria.
[2]Registrar General. Awedu Institute of Business Management and Leadership.

***Corresponding Author**: Dr. Biedima Sowari Jaja
DOI: *https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22076682*

Article History
Original Research Article
Received: 20-06-2026
Accepted: 26-07-2026
Published: 24-08-2026

Citation: Dr. Biedima sowari jaja, & Amb. Nnordee Bariagara King David, Ph.D. (2026). Ethical decision-making in business and its SDGs implications. UKR Journal of Economics, Business and Management, 2(8), 249-257.

Abstract

This article claims that ethical business activity is essential to accomplishing the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Technological innovation and financial investment are essential, but corporate ethics are essential. Businesses can contribute effectively to sustainable development by functioning with integrity, openness, and responsibility, rather than corrupting, exploiting, or neglecting the environment. SDG 16 (Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions) is our main focus. All other aims are hampered without ethical government, the rule of law, and anti-corruption. We then examine SDG 16's crucial links to four other goals. First, unethical labour, wage theft, and dangerous conditions make SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth) impossible. Second, SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production) requires ethical product labelling, supply chain transparency, and environmental cost internalisation. Third, SDG 13 (Climate Action) necessitates business emissions reporting honesty and actual decarbonisation initiatives, going beyond greenwashing to verifiable accountability. SDG 17 (Partnerships for the Goals) relies on trust and shared values between public, business, and civil society actors; unethical behaviour undermines this cooperation. We conclude that incorporating ethical decision-making within company strategy and culture is the best way to accelerate the 2030 Agenda.

Keywords: *Business Ethics, Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), Ethical Corporate Governance, SDG 16, Corporate Sustainability, Responsible Business Practices.*

Introduction

The modern business environment is experiencing a significant shift as ethical decision-making evolves from a marginal compliance issue to a core strategic necessity. This metamorphosis is fundamentally connected to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which have created a global framework for tackling humanity's most urgent issues. The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, ratified in 2015, delineates seventeen interrelated objectives that collectively aspire to a future characterised by economic affluence, social equity, and ecological sustainability. In this framework, enterprises are not solely economic entities but are increasingly seen as vital catalysts for social change, with obligations that surpass the mere enhancement of shareholder value.

The Sustainable Development Goals serve as a crucial impetus for moral economic advancement and societal transformation, urging organisations to reevaluate their core mission and operational framework (Rendtorff, 2019). Rendtorff contends that these objectives merge political aspirations with perspectives on economic advancement and social equity, rendering them fundamentally pertinent to business ethics and corporate social responsibility. This viewpoint contests the conventional division between commercial endeavours and ethical considerations, suggesting that innovative company frameworks could incorporate sustainability ideas into their fundamental strategy. The ethical dedication of firms, expressed via environmental, social, and governance (ESG) efforts, has surfaced as an essential avenue for businesses to positively impact the SDGs (Rendtorff, 2019). Leadership plays a crucial role in actualising these ethical ambitions within organisations, as highlighted by Tolstoy, Melén Hånell, and Ghauri (2025), who emphasise the essential role of ethical leadership in manoeuvring through the intricate landscape of the SDG era, especially regarding values-driven marketing. Their research emphasises the necessity for leaders to exemplify and implement ethical principles to cultivate corporate cultures that can address sustainability issues.

Notwithstanding the increasing acknowledgement of ethics as essential to sustainable development, a significant and concerning disparity persists between corporate ethical pledges and their real-world implementation. Organisations often express lofty aspirations and commit to sustainability initiatives while concurrently implementing techniques that contradict these ideals. This disjunction is evident in what Shneiderman (2020) describes as the gap between ethical frameworks and their execution, where principles exist in an abstract form, apart from practical applications. A fundamental aspect of this issue is the inclination to regard ethics as secondary to primary business strategy, assigning it to compliance divisions or public relations roles instead of integrating it into strategic planning, governance frameworks, and performance indicators. This compartmentalisation diminishes the transformative power of ethical decision-making and restricts firms' ability to significantly contribute to the attainment of the SDGs.

This paper explores two primary enquiries: In what manner does ethical decision-making in commerce facilitate the attainment of the Sustainable Development Goals? What ethical frameworks are most proficient in directing company decisions toward sustainable results? The research seeks to clarify how ethical business practices lead to measurable advancements in several SDG objectives. The importance of this investigation is in its capacity to connect theoretical frameworks with practical applications, providing both conceptual insight and actionable direction for businesses aiming to harmonise their operations with sustainability goals. As companies face increasing demands from investors, regulators, and the public to exhibit genuine dedication to sustainable development, grasping the ethical underpinnings of this commitment is not only intellectually stimulating but also critically essential for cultivating robust, credible, and future-oriented organisations.

Conceptual Foundations

Ethical decision-making in business is a multifaceted occurrence that goes beyond personal moral judgement to include shared corporate standards, principles, and procedures. Roy et al. (2024) characterise ethical culture as the collective values, attitudes, and behavioural norms that dictate what is deemed morally acceptable inside an organization, differentiating it from individual ethics that pertain to personal moral growth. This differentiation is vital as even ethically sound individuals might yield to organisational pressures that undermine their moral principles. DeTienne et al. (2021) investigate theories of moral development within business environments, highlighting that the ability for ethical reasoning progresses through various stages, but organisational frameworks often do not facilitate or incentivise advanced moral reasoning, resulting in a disparity between personal competence and collective behaviour. The shift from personal ethics to corporate culture necessitates intentional structural changes that integrate ethical factors into incentive frameworks, decision-making processes, and leadership training.

The transformation of corporate responsibility from charitable corporate social responsibility to comprehensive sustainability management signifies a significant change in stakeholder demands and legal influences. Kandpal et al. (2024) delineate this trend, noting that modern enterprises are assessed not only on their financial outcomes but also on environmental, social, and governance criteria that reflect their impact on societal well-being. This transformation signifies a shift from marginal, optional tasks to essential strategic priorities, with environmental, social, and governance reporting becoming a prevalent accountability tool. Stakeholder theory has played a crucial role in this change, contesting the shareholder primacy paradigm and asserting that enterprises must consider the valid interests of employees, communities, suppliers, and the environment in addition to investors.

The Sustainable Development Goals offer an extensive framework for implementing these broadened duties, featuring seventeen interrelated objectives that span from eliminating poverty to addressing climate change. Bąk (2024) elucidates that the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are characterised by their universality, applicable to all nations irrespective of their development level, and their integrated framework, acknowledging that advancements in one objective frequently rely on progress in others. Ranjan, C and Rajendran (2022) elucidate this interdependence, illustrating how urban development concurrently influences climate initiatives, sustainable consumption, and social fairness, hence requiring comprehensive rather than isolated strategies.

This interconnectedness highlights the necessity for integration the acknowledgement that ethics and sustainability should not be regarded as distinct areas but must be interlaced within organisational strategy. Jeong, Sherman, and Tippins (2021) contend that the Anthropocene era necessitates a profound reevaluation of human-nature interactions, shifting from utilitarian perspectives on sustainability to ethical paradigms that acknowledge the inherent worth of ecological systems. Mazzocchi (2020) bolsters this viewpoint by highlighting indigenous knowledge systems that provide alternate perspectives, merging ethical considerations with sustainable methods and contesting the prevailing Western divide between economic advancement and environmental conservation. Collectively, these viewpoints confirm that ethical decision-making is not merely an enhancement to sustainability initiatives but rather their essential cornerstone.

Ethical Frameworks for Business Decision-Making

Ethical decision-making in business relies on various philosophical schools, each providing unique insights for addressing the intricacies of corporate behaviour. Deontological ethics, which focuses on duty, prioritises compliance with universal principles and moral responsibilities regardless of outcomes, serving as a basis for initiatives like the United Nations Global Compact and global human rights norms. Ditlev-Simonsen (2021) elucidates that deontological frameworks impose inviolable limits on corporate behaviour, delineating essential obligations to employees, society, and the ecosystem that must not be compromised for profit. Scarpa (2021) broadens this argument to encompass corporate taxation, positing that equitable tax contributions represent a social obligation that satisfies a fundamental duty to the communities in which enterprises function.

Consequentialist ethics redirects attention from obligations to results, assessing choices by their ability to enhance collective well-being and reduce suffering. David et al. (2026) contest conventional stakeholder theory by advocating for an eco-centric ethics that broadens the assessment of outcomes to include ecological systems and non-human entities. Kalaitzidis (2026) enhances this discussion by juxtaposing consequentialist frameworks with capacity approaches, illustrating that ethical reasoning must consider both quantifiable results and the essential liberties individuals have to achieve fulfilling lives.

Virtue ethics presents an alternative viewpoint, focusing on character, integrity, and the development of moral excellence in organisations. Newstead et al. (2020) introduce the Virtues Project as an effective method for cultivating exemplary leaders, illustrating that the ability to make ethical decisions can be methodically improved through education and contemplation centred on virtues. Mejia and Skorburg (2022) refine this viewpoint by exploring the relationship between personal character and organisational context, revealing that ethical conduct is influenced by both inherent virtues and contextual elements, indicating that fostering ethical cultures necessitates focus on both recruitment and surroundings.

Stakeholder theory amalgamates these paradigms by asserting that enterprises must generate value for all impacted stakeholders, not solely shareholders. Freudenreich, Lüdeke-Freund, and Schaltegger (2020) discuss how stakeholder-centric business models create enduring value via mutual partnerships and a common objective. Schormair and Gilbert (2021) tackle the issue of value pluralism, suggesting that discursive justification can serve as a means to resolve stakeholder disputes via inclusive conversation.

Transformational governance integrates these ethical principles into the decision-making processes at the board level. Rismawati (2026) advocates for transcending mere compliance to achieve a purposeful institutionalisation of corporate social responsibility within governance structures. Samans and Nelson (2022) offer pragmatic advice for incorporating environmental, social, and governance factors into corporate governance. Minter (2026) emphasises the significance of cultural and emotional intelligence in assisting boards to adeptly manage ethical intricacies.

SDG Implications of Ethical Decision-Making

The Sustainable Development Goals offer an extensive framework for comprehending the correlation between ethical business practices and measurable societal advancement. Sustainable Development Goal 16, which includes peace, justice, and robust institutions, holds a pivotal role in this framework, as ethical business conduct directly enhances institutional fortitude and accountability. Hope Sr (2020) analyses the obstacles to the execution of SDG 16, highlighting that anti-corruption initiatives, transparent governance, and inclusive institutions are vital foundations for the attainment of all other objectives. Küfeoğlu (2022) bolsters this viewpoint, illustrating how nascent technologies can enhance institutional integrity, while warning that technological interventions should adhere to ethical standards to prevent worsening existing disparities.

Sustainable Development Goal 8 pertains to equitable employment and economic advancement, linking principled labour practices to enduring prosperity. Matthews and Baum (2025) examine the ramifications of SDG 8 on human resource management, contending that organisations should progress from mere compliance to a sincere dedication to employe well-being, encompassing equitable remuneration, secure environments, and avenues for advancement. Shafik (2025) investigates the influence of technology on the progression of SDG 8, concluding that digital advancements can enhance employment and economic prospects when implemented with a focus on inclusivity and worker safeguarding.

Sustainable consumption and production, as outlined in SDG 12, necessitates that enterprises revamp supply chains and adopt circular economy tenets. Gasper, Shah, and Tankha (2019) conduct a critical examination of the portrayal of sustainable consumption in SDG 12, noting that the objective includes enhancements in production efficiency and modifications in consumer behaviour, necessitating ethical engagement from businesses with both consumers and suppliers. Shafik (2025) expounds on the circular economy as a means to achieve SDG 12, illustrating how waste minimisation, resource optimisation, and product lifecycle oversight correspond with environmental sustainability and economic feasibility underpinned by ethical standards. Climate action, essential to SDG 13, embodies one of the most pressing ethical dilemmas facing modern enterprises. Babacan (2025) traces the route from climate crisis recognition to policy implementation, highlighting that effective climate governance demands ethical leadership that prioritises long-term planetary health over short-term profit maximisation. He et al. (2023) provide empirical evidence that renewable energy investment, driven by both policy incentives and ethical commitment, significantly contributes to achieving SDG 13 targets. SDG 17 underscores the necessity of partnerships for achieving all goals, recognising that no single actor can address sustainability challenges alone. Eweje et al. (2021) examine multi-stakeholder partnerships as catalysts for SDG achievement, finding that collaborative initiatives between businesses, governments, and civil society generate outcomes that individual actors could not accomplish independently. Cruz (2023) critically interrogates the vocabulary of cooperation, cautioning that partnerships must be anchored in actual commitment and accountability rather than merely symbolic engagement.

The interdependence of the SDGs means that ethical decision-making offers synergistic advantages across several targets while also demanding careful management of trade-offs. Mutambara (2025) contextualises the SDGs as an interconnected framework, illustrating that development in one area supports advancement in others when led by coherent ethical standards. Frey and Gasbarro (2019) analyse the synergies and trade-offs between climate adaptation and mitigation, highlighting how ethical decision-making includes navigating complex interdependencies and making principled decisions when competing objectives occur.

Challenges and Opportunities

The quest for ethical corporate practices and connection with the Sustainable Development Goals faces considerable obstacles that require recognition and resolution. Unintended repercussions constitute a widespread issue, as entrepreneurial endeavours driven by sustainability objectives may ironically produce adverse effects. Yao and Li (2023) investigate the negative aspects of resource dependence, revealing that areas significantly dependent on natural resource extraction frequently suffer from insufficient entrepreneurial activity and enduring inequity, despite enterprises adhering to legal regulations. Kask and Findsrud (2025) broaden this examination to encompass digitalisation, investigating how technology-driven entrepreneurship may unintentionally compromise sustainability via heightened consumption, breaches of data privacy, and workforce displacement, emphasising the necessity for ethical foresight in innovation methodologies.

Global value chain challenges introduce an additional dimension of intricacy, as enterprises functioning in varied cultural settings must manoeuvre through differing ethical standards and legal frameworks. Chukwu et al. (2023) tackle the dilemma of managing ethical supply chains by merging diplomatic management with theological ethics, contending that successful cross-cultural cooperation necessitates a profound comprehension of indigenous beliefs and customs. Pratono (2020) investigates cross-cultural cooperation within the rattan sector, illustrating that inclusive global value chains rely on substantial local engagement and culturally attuned codes of behaviour that honour indigenous knowledge and traditions instead than enforcing external norms.

Systemic hazards linked to unethical behaviour have grown more prominent, as regulatory oversight, legal accountability, and reputational harm can significantly threaten corporate sustainability. Gadinis and Miazad (2020) examine corporate law and societal risk, contending that legal structures are adapting to ensure firms are responsible for social and environmental damages, hence rendering ethics a concern of both legal adherence and moral duty. Svetlova (2022) analyses the systemic threats that artificial intelligence presents in the financial sector, illustrating how ethical shortcomings in algorithm creation and implementation can lead to cascading effects that jeopardise entire financial systems, highlighting the necessity of integrating ethics into technological oversight.

Measurement deficiencies obstruct the thorough examination of ethical impact, as businesses frequently find it challenging to progress from compliance metrics to a substantive assessment of their role in sustainability. Ayling and Chapman (2022) evaluate the instruments for applying AI ethics, concluding that several frameworks are poorly constructed for practical use and do not sufficiently address the complex aspects of ethical execution. Deciu (2022) discusses the modification of ethics and compliance initiatives for contemporary management instruments, suggesting methods that incorporate ethical evaluation into standard organisational procedures instead of considering it an isolated role.

Notwithstanding these obstacles, considerable prospects are available for transformative progress via values-driven corporate frameworks and progressive governance.

Bhattacharjya (2026) showcases Bhutan's carbon-negative framework as a paradigm for environmentally conscious growth, illustrating that a national dedication to sustainability may yield ecological and economic advantages. Cripps (2020) examines governance strategies aimed at ensuring long-term viability by fostering organisational resilience through ethical principles, proposing that companies integrating sustainability into their fundamental strategy are more adept at addressing new challenges and seizing changing market demands. These prospects indicate that ethical decision-making, albeit facing genuine challenges, provides a route to improved legitimacy, stakeholder confidence, and enduring sustainability in a progressively eco-aware global market.

Practical Pathways for Implementation

Implementing ethical concepts within organisational practices necessitates intentional and methodical strategies across all facets of corporate operations. Governance integrity serves as the essential framework, setting the overarching tone that influences the entire organization. Akinsola (2025) analyses the influence of corporate governance on enhancing compliance frameworks, illustrating that dedication to ethical standards at the board level establishes the necessary structural conditions for successful implementation throughout the organization. Low (2022) underscores the vital significance of governance within environmental, social, and governance frameworks, contending that strong corporate governance and anti-corruption measures are inherently linked to overarching sustainability pledges, necessitating cohesive rather than isolated strategies.

Stakeholder inclusion signifies a crucial avenue, advancing beyond superficial consultation to authentic interactive participation that influences decision-making. This methodology acknowledges that sustained value generation arises from value networks in which varied viewpoints shape strategy and operations. Illustrative instances like IKEA showcase the role of stakeholder involvement in promoting ethical supply chain processes, whereas Lofbergs exemplifies how multi-stakeholder discussions regarding coffee sourcing yield reciprocal advantages for farmers, communities, and consumers. These instances indicate that inclusive participation is not just charitable but also strategically advantageous for recognising risks and possibilities.

Corporate advocacy presents an alternative avenue, allowing enterprises to effectuate systemic transformation via responsible policy involvement and collaborative efforts. Elliott (2024) examines the corporate legal sector's function in combating global corruption, highlighting both responsibilities and prospects for engaging in collaborative anti-corruption efforts that surpass mere compliance of individual firms. Suteki et al. (2024) investigate the empowerment of local communities within anti-corruption initiatives, illustrating that successful campaigning necessitates grassroots involvement and capacity enhancement instead of depending exclusively on top-down policy measures.

Cultural sensitivity represents a fourth avenue for implementation, acknowledging that ethical frameworks should be tailored to local circumstances and varied requirements while maintaining core principles. Bobel, Al Hinai, and Roslani (2022) discuss the importance of cultural sensitivity and ethical considerations in clinical environments, providing perspectives relevant to business scenarios that often encounter cross-cultural ethical challenges. Filiz, Kaya, and Adiguzel (2025) investigate the determinants affecting technology incorporation in education, illustrating that successful execution necessitates consideration of local cultural values and practices, a concept that is also pertinent to the acceptance of ethics programs.

Education and capacity development provide a crucial avenue, preparing present and prospective corporate leaders with the requisite information, skills, and attitudes for ethical decision-making. Avelar, da Silva Oliveira, and Farina (2023) examine the incorporation of Sustainable Development Goals into higher education programs, research initiatives, and collaborations, concluding that a thorough institutional commitment is crucial for cultivating graduates proficient in sustainability. Tun, Wellbery, and Teherani (2020) investigate the growth of faculty and student collaboration in the incorporation of sustainable healthcare within professional education, presenting a framework for cooperative capacity enhancement that utilises several institutional participants. Collectively, these avenues establish a cohesive structure for integrating ethics and sustainability into organisational operations, transitioning from aspirational pledges to substantive execution.

Conclusion

This essay has illustrated that ethical decision-making is not simply an ancillary component of corporate strategy but a fundamental and essential prerequisite for realising the Sustainable Development Goals. The analysed conceptual underpinnings demonstrate that ethics and sustainability are fundamentally interconnected, with ethical culture serving as the moral framework that directs organisations toward responsible behaviour in economic, social, and environmental spheres. The examined ethical frameworks deontological, consequentialist, virtue-based, stakeholder-oriented, and transformational governance provide significant insights, but together they confirm that no singular method is adequate; instead, enterprises must integrate various ethical traditions to address the intricacies of sustainability issues. The analysis of SDG implications reveals that ethical business practices significantly enhance institutional fortification, promote dignified employment, encourage responsible consumption, foster climate initiatives, and facilitate partnership growth, whereas the challenges highlighted emphasise the necessity of tackling unintended repercussions, global value chain issues, systemic vulnerabilities, and gaps in measurement. The identified practical pathways furnish tangible direction for governance integrity, stakeholder inclusiveness, corporate advocacy, cultural awareness, and capacity enhancement, presenting a cohesive framework for organisations dedicated to harmonising their operations with sustainability mandates.

The ramifications of this analysis are significant and pressing for corporate executives. Ethical decision-making provides strategic advantages by fostering stakeholder confidence, bolstering brand integrity, and fortifying defences against regulatory and reputational threats. Leaders must acknowledge that their responsibilities go beyond mere compliance oversight to fostering ethical cultures that infiltrate all tiers of the organization. This necessitates exhibiting genuine dedication via both verbal and behavioural expressions, integrating environmental, social, and governance factors into strategic frameworks and performance indicators, and cultivating atmospheres where ethical issues may be voiced and resolved without fear of retaliation. The analysis presented in this article substantiates that enduring profitability and ethical behaviour are not conflicting goals but rather complementary need, with principled management fostering sustainable value unattainable through just short-term profit maximisation.

The results highlight the obligation of policymakers to establish conducive conditions that promote ethical business practices and allow for significant assessment of sustainability efforts. This entails creating regulatory structures that promote responsible conduct, fostering multi-stakeholder collaborations that utilise varied expertise and resources, and allocating funds toward measurement instruments that assess the complex aspects of ethical impact beyond basic compliance metrics. Future investigations should emphasise the creation of culturally adaptable evaluation tools, cross-cultural analyses that reveal the translation of ethical frameworks across various contexts, and the exploration of the ramifications of developing technology on ethical governance. The pressing nature of the climate emergency, escalating inequality, and institutional vulnerability necessitate profound transformation that cannot be accomplished through mere incremental modifications. This necessitates a collaborative endeavour by enterprises, governmental bodies, civil organisations, and individuals to establish an economic framework that prioritises human well-being and environmental sustainability. The way ahead necessitates bravery, innovation, and steadfast dedication to the belief that ethical commerce is not just feasible but vital for a sustainable and equitable future.

References

1. Akinsola, K. (2025). The role of corporate governance in strengthening compliance frameworks. *Available at SSRN 5126938*.
2. Avelar, A. B. A., da Silva Oliveira, K. D., & Farina, M. C. (2023). The integration of the Sustainable Development Goals into curricula, research and partnerships in higher education. *International review of education*, *69*(3), 299-325.
3. Ayling, J., & Chapman, A. (2022). Putting AI ethics to work: are the tools fit for purpose?. *AI and Ethics*, *2*(3), 405-429.
4. Babacan, H. (2025). From crisis to policy action: Tackling climate change through SDG 13. *The International Journal of Community and Social Development*, *7*(2), 205-221.
5. Bąk, I. (2024). Sustainable development goals (SDGs): Concept and measurement. In *The role of financial markets in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals* (pp. 15-41). Edward Elgar Publishing.
6. Bhattacharjya[1], O. (2026, May). Bhutan's Carbon-Negative Model as a Blueprint for Climate-Responsible. In *Proceedings of the Indo-Bhutan Social Science Conference 2025 (IBSSC 2025)* (p. 381). Springer Nature.
7. Bobel, M. C., Al Hinai, A., & Roslani, A. C. (2022). Cultural sensitivity and ethical considerations. *Clinics in colon and rectal surgery*, *35*(05), 371-375.
8. Chukwu, E., Adu-Baah, A., Niaz, M., Nwagwu, U., & Chukwu, M. U. (2023). Navigating ethical supply chains: the intersection of diplomatic management and theological ethics. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Arts*, *2*(3), 127-139.
9. Cripps, L. C. (2020). Future-proofing: Exploring the value of a therapeutic recreation positive psychology intervention for supporting youth experiencing mental health challenges.

10. Cruz, S. A. (2023). SDG 17 and global partnership for sustainable development: unraveling the rhetoric of collaboration. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *11*, 1155828

11. David, L. K., Wang, J., Cisse, I. I., Ang'edu Eulalia, N., & Suleman, D. B. (2026). Stakeholder Theory Is Dead: Toward an Eco-Centric Ethics. *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility*.

12. Deciu, V. (2022). Adapting Ethics and Compliance Programs for Modern Management Tools. *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*, *12*(7), 1213-1221.

13. DeTienne, K. B., Ellertson, C. F., Ingerson, M. C., & Dudley, W. R. (2021). Moral Development in Business Ethics: An Examination and Critique: KB DeTienne et al. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *170*(3), 429-448

14. Ditlev-Simonsen, C. D. (2021). Sustainable corporate responsibility. In *A guide to sustainable corporate responsibility: From theory to action* (pp. 9-36). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

15. Elliott, J. (2024). The corporate legal profession's role in global corruption: obligations and opportunities for contributing to collective action. *Crime, Law and Social Change*, *81*(2), 185-201.

16. Eweje, G., Sajjad, A., Nath, S. D., & Kobayashi, K. (2021). Multi-stakeholder partnerships: A catalyst to achieve sustainable development goals. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, *39*(2), 186-212.

17. Filiz, O., Kaya, M. H., & Adiguzel, T. (2025). Teachers and AI: Understanding the factors influencing AI integration in K-12 education. *Education and Information Technologies*, *30*(13), 17931-17967.

18. Freudenreich, B., Lüdeke-Freund, F., & Schaltegger, S. (2020). A stakeholder theory perspective on business models: Value creation for sustainability. *Journal of business ethics*, *166*(1), 3-18.

19. Frey, M., & Gasbarro, F. (2019). Adaptation and mitigation synergies and trade-offs. In *Climate Action* (pp. 1-9). Cham: Springer International Publishing

20. Gadinis, S., & Miazad, A. (2020). Corporate law and social risk. *Vand. L. Rev.*, *73*, 1401. Svetlova, E. (2022). AI ethics and systemic risks in finance. *AI and Ethics*, *2*(4), 713-725.

21. Gasper, D., Shah, A., & Tankha, S. (2019). The framing of sustainable consumption and production in SDG 12. *Global Policy*, *10*, 83-95

22. He, X., Khan, S., Ozturk, I., & Murshed, M. (2023). The role of renewable energy investment in tackling climate change concerns: Environmental policies for achieving SDG-13. *Sustainable Development*, *31*(3), 1888-1901

23. Hope Sr, K. R. (2020). Peace, justice and inclusive institutions: overcoming challenges to the implementation of Sustainable Development Goal 16. *Global Change, Peace & Security*, *32*(1), 57-77.

24. Jeong, S., Sherman, B., & Tippins, D. J. (2021). The Anthropocene as we know it: Posthumanism, science education and scientific literacy as a path to sustainability. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, *16*(3), 805-820.

25. Kalaitzidis, G. (2026). Justice Between Consequences and Capabilities: A Comparative Study of Ethics of Social Consequences and Sen's Idea of Justice. *Human Affairs*, *36*(3), 451-470

26. Kandpal, V., Jaswal, A., Santibanez Gonzalez, E. D., & Agarwal, N. (2024). Corporate social responsibility (CSR) and ESG reporting: Redefining business in the twenty-first century. In *Sustainable energy transition: Circular economy and sustainable financing for environmental, social and governance (ESG) practices* (pp. 239-272). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

27. Kandpal, V., Jaswal, A., Santibanez Gonzalez, E. D., & Agarwal, N. (2024). Corporate social responsibility (CSR) and ESG reporting: Redefining business in the twenty-first century. In *Sustainable energy transition: Circular economy and sustainable financing for environmental, social and governance (ESG) practices* (pp. 239-272). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland

28. Kask, J., & Findsrud, R. (2025). Exploring the dark sides of digitalization in entrepreneurship on sustainability. In *Entrepreneurship, Sustainability and Social Responsibility: The Importance of Agenda 2030* (pp. 171-186). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

29. Küfeoğlu, S. (2022). SDG-16: Peace, justice and strong institutions. In *Emerging technologies: value creation for sustainable development* (pp. 487-496). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

30. Low, L. A. (2022). The All Important" G" in ESG and Its Relationship to Good Governance and Corporate Compliance in Anti-Corruption: Towards a More Holistic Approach. *Sw. J. Int'l L.*, *28*, 340.

31. Matthews, B., & Baum, T. (2025). "Decent work and economic growth"(SDG 8) and HRM. In *The Elgar Companion to Human Resource Management Beyond the Sustainable Development Goals* (pp. 88-100). Edward Elgar Publishing.

32. Mazzocchi, F. (2020). A deeper meaning of sustainability: Insights from indigenous knowledge. *The Anthropocene Review*, *7*(1), 77-93.

33. Mejia, S., & Skorburg, J. A. (2022). Malleable character: organizational behavior meets virtue ethics and situationism. *Philosophical Studies*, *179*(12), 3535-356

34. Minter, K. P. (2026). *The Impact of Cultural and Emotional Intelligence on Corporate Board Governance* (Doctoral dissertation, National University).

35. Mutambara, P. D. E. (2025). Sustainable development: contextualising the SDGs. In *Deploying artificial intelligence to achieve the UN sustainable development goals: Enablers, drivers and strategic framework* (pp. 11-45). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

36. Newstead, T., Dawkins, S., Macklin, R., & Martin, A. (2020). The Virtues Project: An Approach to Developing Good Leaders: T. Newstead et al. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *167*(4), 605-622.

37. Pratono, A. H. (2020). Cross-cultural collaboration for inclusive global value chain: a case study of rattan industry. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, *15*(1), 149-170.

38. Ranjan, R., C, M. F., & Rajendran, L. P. (2022). Sustainable development goals: An overview of the interconnected relationship of SDGs and urban and regional development. *The Palgrave Encyclopedia of Urban and Regional Futures*, 1-13

39. Rendtorff, J. D. (2019). Sustainable development goals and progressive business models for economic transformation. *Local Economy*, *34*(6), 510-524.
Tolstoy, D., Melén Hånell, S., & Ghauri, P. N. (2025). Unpacking the role of ethical leadership in the era of Sustainable Development Goals and values-based marketing. *Journal of International Marketing*, *33*(2), 19-38.

40. Rismawati, R. (2026). Beyond Compliance: Directors' Strategic Role in Institutionalising CSR Within Corporate Governance Frameworks. In *Investigating Directors' Accountability in Promoting Corporate Social Responsibility* (pp. 1-34). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

41. Roy, A., Newman, A., Round, H., & Bhattacharya, S. (2024). Ethical culture in organizations: A review and agenda for future research. *Business ethics quarterly*, *34*(1), 97-138.

42. Samans, R., & Nelson, J. (2022). Corporate governance and oversight. In *Sustainable Enterprise value creation: Implementing stakeholder capitalism through full ESG integration* (pp. 103-140). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

43. Scarpa, F. (2021). Corporate taxation: a matter of social responsibility.

44. Schormair, M. J., & Gilbert, D. U. (2021). Creating value by sharing values: Managing stakeholder value conflict in the face of pluralism through discursive justification. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, *31*(1), 1-36.

45. Shafik, W. (2025). SDG 12: responsible consumption and production—the circular economy. In *Factoring technology in global sustainability: a focus on the sustainable development goals* (pp. 365-390). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

46. Shafik, W. (2025). SDG 8: Decent work and economic growth—technology for employment and economic opportunities. In *Factoring technology in global sustainability: A focus on the sustainable development goals* (pp. 277-301). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore

47. Shneiderman, B. (2020). Bridging the gap between ethics and practice: guidelines for reliable, safe, and trustworthy human-centered AI systems. *ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems (TiiS)*, *10*(4), 1-31.

48. Suteki; Jalil, Abdul; Natalis, Aga; Nasution, Angelica Vanessa Audrey. (2024). Empowering local communities: Enhancing engagement in anti-corruption action programs. *Lentera Hukum*, *11*, 56.

49. Tun, S., Wellbery, C., & Teherani, A. (2020). Faculty development and partnership with students to integrate sustainable healthcare into health professions education. *Medical Teacher*, *42*(10), 1112-1118.

50. Yao, X., & Li, X. (2023). Dark side of resource dependence: Inadequate entrepreneurship. *Resources Policy*, *85*, 104003.