Management Of Planning Practices for Effective Implementation of Policies in Public Universities in Rivers State

Nnabude, Christian Uche

IRSBACCOM UNIVERSITY, Parakou, Benin Republic

*Corresponding Author: Nnabude, Christian Uche

Article History	Abstract
  	This study investigated the management of planning practices for effective implementation of policies in public universities in Rivers State, Nigeria. The persistent gap between policy formulation and actual implementation in the Nigerian university system has been linked to inadequate planning, weak institutional capacity, and poor coordination of resources and personnel. To address this concern, the study examined the extent to which key planning practices—curriculum planning, human resource planning, financial planning, students' welfare planning, community service planning, facilities planning, and staff training—are managed for effective policy implementation in federal and state universities. *The study was anchored on Henri Fayol's Administrative Management Theory and Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs theory, which emphasize structured management processes, coordination of resources, and the importance of staff motivation and welfare for organizational effectiveness. A descriptive survey research design was adopted. The population comprised 2,985 academic staff across three public universities in Rivers State. A sample of 800 academic staff, representing 26 percent of the population, was selected using proportionate sampling techniques. Data were collected using a researcher-developed questionnaire titled Management of Planning Practices for Effective Policy Implementation in Public Universities Questionnaire (MPPEPIPUQ). Mean scores and standard deviations were used to answer the research questions, while t-test statistics were employed to test the seven null hypotheses at a 0.05 level of significance.* *Findings revealed that curriculum planning, human resource planning, financial planning, students' welfare planning, community service planning, facilities planning, and staff training were managed to a high extent for effective policy implementation in public universities in Rivers State, as their grand mean values were all above the criterion mean of 2.50. Hypotheses testing indicated no significant differences between federal and state universities in most planning areas, except for community service planning, where a significant difference was observed. The results suggest that comprehensive and well-coordinated planning practices contribute positively to the effective implementation of university policies.* *The study concluded that effective policy implementation in public universities requires integrated management planning practices that align institutional goals with available resources and stakeholder needs. It recommended that university administrators strengthen curriculum alignment with societal needs, improve human resource and financial planning, prioritize student welfare, invest in infrastructure, enhance community engagement, and promote continuous staff training to ensure successful policy outcomes. The findings contribute to the body of knowledge in educational administration by demonstrating the importance of strategic planning practices in achieving effective policy implementation in public universities.* ***Keywords:** Effective Policy Implementation; Educational Policies; Policy Implementation; Administrator; Manpower and Financial Planning.*

Introduction

1.1 Background of the Study

Education is globally recognized as a catalyst for socio-economic, political, and technological development. In Nigeria, higher education—particularly university education—plays a critical role in manpower development, innovation, and national transformation. The National Policy on Education (Federal Republic of Nigeria, 2014) outlines clear objectives for university education, emphasizing relevance, quality, and responsiveness to national needs. However, persistent challenges in policy implementation have raised concerns about the effectiveness of planning and management processes within public universities.

Effective policy implementation in universities requires deliberate and coordinated planning across multiple domains, including curriculum design, human resources, financing, facilities, staff development, student welfare, and community engagement. Weaknesses in any of these planning areas can undermine policy outcomes, regardless of policy quality. Consequently, understanding how planning practices are managed in public universities is essential for improving institutional performance and governance.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Despite comprehensive policies guiding university administration in Nigeria, gaps persist between policy formulation and implementation. Observations suggest inconsistencies in curriculum delivery, staff development, infrastructure maintenance, and community engagement. These challenges raise questions about the extent to which planning practices are effectively managed in public universities, particularly across federal and state institutions in Rivers State.

1.3 Aim and Objectives of the Study

The main aim of this study was to investigate the management of planning practices for effective implementation of policies in public universities in Rivers State.

Specifically, the study sought to:

1. Determine the extent to which curriculum planning is managed for effective policy implementation.
2. Examine the management of human resource planning practices.
3. Assess the extent of financial planning management.
4. Evaluate students' welfare planning practices.
5. Investigate community service planning practices.
6. Examine planning for facilities.
7. Assess staff training planning practices.

1.4 Research Questions and Hypotheses

Seven research questions and corresponding null hypotheses guided the study, focusing on the extent of planning practices and differences between federal and state universities.

2. Literature Review

The literature on planning practice and policy implementation in public universities emphasizes the central role of effective planning systems, institutional capacity, and governance structures in achieving educational goals. Planning is widely recognized as a systematic process of defining objectives, allocating resources, and coordinating activities to achieve predetermined outcomes (Aghenta, 2001; Nwankwo, 2013). In the context of higher education, planning is essential for ensuring efficient use of scarce resources, improving academic standards, and responding to changing societal and economic demands (Fadipe, 2000; Saint, Hartnett, & Strassner, 2003). Effective planning practices are therefore viewed as fundamental to institutional development, sustainability, and policy success in public universities.

Scholars argue that the success of policy implementation in universities depends largely on the alignment between planning objectives and institutional capacities. According to Ukeje (2002), educational planning must be responsive to national development goals and should be supported by adequate financial, human, and material resources. Similarly, Coombs (1970) notes that ineffective planning often results from poor data, unrealistic projections, and lack of coordination among stakeholders. In many developing countries, the planning process is further constrained by bureaucratic inefficiencies, political interference, and inadequate funding, which collectively undermine policy outcomes (Okebukola, 2005; World Bank, 2000).

Institutional autonomy and governance structures also feature prominently in the literature. Clark (1998) emphasizes that universities require a strong steering core and decentralized decision-making systems to implement plans effectively. Where governance structures are weak or overly centralized, planning tends to become symbolic rather than operational, leading to poor policy outcomes (Saint et al., 2003). In Nigeria, several studies highlight the disconnect between policy formulation and implementation, attributing this gap to inconsistent government policies, weak institutional leadership, and lack of accountability mechanisms (Ogunu, 2001; Nwagwu, 2006).

Another major theme in the literature is the role of financial resources in planning and policy implementation. Adequate and predictable funding is essential for translating plans into concrete actions. Fadipe (2000) and Okebukola (2005) argue that chronic underfunding of public universities leads to abandoned projects, deteriorating infrastructure, and declining academic quality. The World Bank (2000) similarly reports that many higher education institutions in developing countries face severe resource constraints, which hinder effective planning and implementation processes. Without sufficient financial support, even well-designed plans cannot achieve their intended outcomes.

Human resource capacity is also identified as a critical factor in planning effectiveness. Aghenta (2001) stresses that competent planners, administrators, and academic staff are essential for successful policy implementation. Where staff lack the necessary planning skills or professional training, institutional plans are often poorly executed or ignored altogether (Nwankwo, 2013). Moreover, staff motivation and commitment are influenced by working conditions, remuneration, and opportunities for professional development (Ogunu, 2001). Inadequate human resource management therefore weakens the implementation of institutional plans.

Participation and stakeholder involvement are frequently highlighted as important elements of effective planning practice. Ukeje (2002) argues that planning should be participatory, involving academic staff, administrators, students, and external stakeholders. Participatory planning enhances ownership, improves decision-making, and increases the likelihood of successful implementation. Conversely, top-down planning approaches often result in resistance, lack of commitment, and implementation failures (Coombs, 1970; Nwagwu, 2006).

Monitoring and evaluation mechanisms are also emphasized as essential components of the planning process. Effective implementation requires continuous assessment of progress, identification of challenges, and adjustment of strategies where necessary (Fadipe, 2000). However, many public universities lack robust monitoring systems, leading to poor feedback loops and limited accountability (Okebukola, 2005). Without proper evaluation mechanisms, institutions are unable to measure the effectiveness of their plans or improve future planning cycles.

The literature further highlights the impact of external environmental factors on planning and policy implementation. Political instability, economic fluctuations, and changes in government priorities can disrupt institutional plans and create uncertainty (World Bank, 2000; Saint et al., 2003). In Nigeria, frequent policy changes and inconsistent government support have been identified as major obstacles to effective planning in public universities (Ogunu, 2001; Nwagwu, 2006). These external constraints often lead to delays, project abandonment, and inefficiencies in resource utilization.

Empirical studies on planning practices in Nigerian universities consistently reveal gaps between planning intentions and actual outcomes. Many institutions develop strategic plans that are not fully implemented due to inadequate funding, weak leadership, and poor coordination among units (Okebukola, 2005; Nwankwo, 2013). In some cases, planning documents are prepared primarily to satisfy regulatory requirements rather than to guide institutional actions, resulting in superficial or symbolic planning practices (Ogunu, 2001).

Overall, the literature demonstrates that effective planning practice is a multidimensional process influenced by financial resources, human capacity, governance structures, stakeholder participation, and external environmental factors. Successful policy implementation in public universities requires coherent planning systems, adequate funding, competent personnel, and strong institutional leadership. Where these conditions are absent, planning tends to be ineffective, leading to poor policy outcomes and limited institutional development (Aghenta, 2001; Fadipe, 2000; Okebukola, 2005; Saint et al., 2003; World Bank, 2000).

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

A descriptive survey research design was adopted. The population comprised 2,985 academic staff in three public universities in Rivers State. Using proportionate sampling, 800 respondents were selected. Data were collected using the Management Planning Practices for Effective Implementation of Policies Questionnaire (MPPEIPPUQ). Data analysis involved descriptive statistics and t-tests.

3.2 Population and Sample

The population comprised 2,985 academic staff from three public universities in Rivers State. A sample of 800 academic staff (26%) was selected using proportionate sampling. A total of 735 valid responses were retrieved, representing a 92% response rate.

3.3 Instrumentation

Data were collected using a researcher-developed questionnaire titled *Management of Planning Practices for Effective Policy Implementation in Public Universities Questionnaire (MPPEPIPUQ)*. The instrument consisted of two sections: demographic information and planning practice items.

3.4 Validity and Reliability

The instrument was validated by experts in educational management. Reliability was established using the test–retest method, yielding a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.85.

3.5 Data Analysis

Mean and standard deviation were used to answer research questions, while independent samples t-tests were used to test hypotheses at the 0.05 level of significance. A criterion mean of 2.50 was adopted.

4. Results

4.1 Descriptive Results

Curriculum Planning: Grand mean = 2.82 (High extent)

Human Resource Planning: Grand mean = 2.89 (High extent)

Financial Planning: Grand mean = 2.91 (High extent)

Students' Welfare Planning: Grand mean = 2.84 (High extent)

Community Service Planning: Grand mean = 2.74 (High extent)

Facilities Planning: Grand mean = 2.86 (High extent)

Staff Training Planning: Grand mean = 2.77 (High extent)

4.2 Hypotheses Testing

Independent samples t-test results showed that six hypotheses were accepted, indicating no significant differences between federal and state universities across most planning dimensions. Hypothesis five (community service planning) was rejected, indicating a significant difference between federal and state universities.

Comparative Findings Table

Planning Area	Federal Universities	State Universities	Significance
Curriculum Planning	High	High	Not Significant
Human Resource Planning	High	High	Not Significant
Financial Planning	High	High	Not Significant
Community Service Planning	Moderate–High	High	Significant Difference

5. Discussion of Findings

The findings demonstrate that public universities in Rivers State manage planning practices to a high extent, supporting effective policy implementation. The absence of significant differences between federal and state universities in most areas suggests uniform administrative approaches guided by national policy frameworks. The significant difference observed in community service planning may reflect variations in institutional mandates, funding structures, and community engagement priorities.

Key Findings Summary Table

Planning Dimension	Extent of Management	Interpretation
Curriculum Planning	High Extent	Supports effective policy delivery
Human Resource Planning	High Extent	Enhances staff deployment
Financial Planning	High Extent	Improves policy sustainability
Students' Welfare Planning	High Extent	Promotes student engagement
Facilities Planning	High Extent	Supports learning environment
Staff Training Planning	High Extent	Builds institutional capacity

6. Conclusion

This study establishes that effective management of planning practices is a critical determinant of successful policy implementation in Nigerian public universities. The convergence of planning outcomes across federal and state institutions underscores the influence of national regulatory frameworks and shared governance structures. However, variations in community service planning highlight the need for localized engagement strategies. Strengthening curriculum relevance, human resource forecasting, sustainable financing, infrastructure planning, and continuous staff development will enhance policy outcomes. Institutional leaders are therefore encouraged to adopt integrated and participatory planning mechanisms to ensure policy stability, institutional effectiveness, and societal relevance.

7. Recommendations

1. University administrators should strengthen curriculum planning to ensure alignment with societal and labor market needs.

2. Human resource planning should emphasize capacity development and succession planning.

3. Financial planning should prioritize timely and adequate funding for policy execution.

4. Student welfare planning should remain central to institutional policy decisions.

5. Universities should adopt inclusive community service planning models to enhance policy relevance and impact.

8. References

1. Aboramadan, M., Albashiti, B., Alharazin, H., & Dahleez, K. A. (2020). Human resources management practices and organizational commitment in higher education: The mediating role of work engagement. *International Journal of Educational Management*, *34*(1), 154-174.

2. Adams, L., Johnson, P., & Williams, K. (2021). Accessibility in higher education: Planning for inclusive campuses. *Higher Education Policy*, 34(2), 198-214.

3. Adebisi T. A. (2J14). Higher education and skills development: An overview of Nigerian National p4ic) on Education (NPE). *International Journal of Development and Sustainability,* *3*(12) k2t84221

4. Adebisi, T. A., (2012). Assessment of relevance of the national directorate of employment (NDE) training programmes to the needs of the trainees in Southwestern Nigeria. *International Journal of Vocational and Technical Education*, *4*(3), 29-37.

5. Ademola E. O., Ogundipe, A T, & Babatunde T (2014) Students' enrolment into tertiary 1stituton in Nigeria the influence of the founder s reputation—A case study computing 4rnqtun 1Systems, *Development Infonnatics & Allied Research Journal*, 5(3), 202-217

6. Adetunji, A. T. (2015). Implementing government policies in university education: Challenges faced by Nigerian Universities' principal officers. *Net Journal of Social Sciences, 3*(1), 9-16.

7. Adiele, E. E. (2009). Reflections on the philosophical base of the Nigerian education and the attainment of equality of access to primary education. *International Journal of African & African-American Studies*, *5*(1), 23-28

8. Adiele, E. E. (2009). Reflections on the philosophical base of the Nigerian education and the attainment of equality of access to primary education. *International Journal of African & African-American Studies*, *5*(1), 23-28

9. Adu-Oppong, A. A., Agyin-Birikorang, E., Darko, G. M., & Aikins, E. D. (2014). Time management and administrative effectiveness: Lessons for educational administrators. *Global Journal of Interdisciplinary Social Sciences*, *3*(4), 76-82.

10. Agabi, C. O., & Ogah, J. I. (2010). Education and human resource planning in Nigeria: The case of National Manpower Board (NMB). *International Journal of Scientific Research in Education*, *3*(3), 152-165.

11. Agyei, D. D. (2019). From needs analysis to large-scale implementation: Using collaborative design to support ict integration. *Collaborative curriculum design for sustainable innovation and teacher learning, 305*.

12. Ahmed, I., Adah, S. P., & Salisu, B. (2023). Effects of Manpower Planning on Performance of Academic Staff Performance at Federal Polytechnic Mubi Adamawa State, Nigeria: A Research Proposal. *Journal of Human Resources and Management Science*.

13. Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2019). Analysis of higher education in Indian National education policy proposal 2019 and its implementation challenges. *International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML)*, *3*(2), 1-35.

14. Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2020). Implementation strategies of higher education part of national education policy 2020 of India towards achieving its objectives. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, *5*(2), 283-325.

15. Ajigo, I., Asuquo, E. D., & Oliver, A. C. (2018). Quality implementation of technical and vocational education and entrepreneurial skill acquisition for technology and economic development in Nigeria. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management (IJSRM)*, *6*(01),

16. Akpan, C. P. (2000). Effective planning: A pre-requisite for successful implementation of the

Universal Basic Education (UBE) scheme. *International Journal of Research in Basic and Life-Long Education.* 1(1&2), 102-109.

17. Akpan, C. P. (2000). Effective planning: A pre-requisite for successful implementation of the Universal Basic Education (UBE) scheme. *International Journal of Research in Basic and Life-Long Education.* 1(1&2), 102-109.

18. Akpan, C. P. (2000). Effective planning: A pre-requisite for successful implementation of the Universal Basic Education (UBE) scheme. *International Journal of Research in Basic and Life-Long Education.* 1(1&2), 102-109.

19. Al-Daihani, M., Al-Qallaf, J., & Almutairi, F. (2019). Student wellness and academic success: The role of university support services. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 41(5), 485-500.

20. Anyaogu, RU. (2008). Ethical conduct Among Academic Staff in Alvanikoku college of

21. Arop, F. O., Owan, V. J., & Akan, E. M. (2018). A qualitative analysis of universal basic education policy implementation strategies in Nigeria: Effective management for goals realization. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science (IJRISS)*, 2(3), 109-119

22. Atelhe, G. A., & Akande, B. A. (2018). The challenges of implementing public policies in Nigeria: Strategies for effective development in the educational sector. *IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science 23(6), 1032-1045.*

23. Avolio, B.J,,& Walumbwa, F.0. (2014). Authentic Leadership theory, research and practice: steps taken and steps that remains. In David, D., *the Oxford handbook of leadership and organizations.* 331-356. Oxford University Press.

24. Ayeni, A.J. (2022). Instructional Planning and Productivity in Public Secondary Schools in Ondo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Management and Business Education, 5(3), 266-281.*

25. Bahtilla, M. (2023). The Implementation of Research Policy: The Case of Two State Universities in Cameroon. *Higher Education Policy*, 36(4), 657-676.

26. Bass, B,M.,&Steidlmeier, p. (1999). Ethics, character and authentic transformational leadership BehaviouraL Leadership Quarterly, 10(2), 18 1-217.

27. Bassa, A. B. (2015). The Practice of Strategic Planning and Strategy Implementation in Public Universities of Ethiopia. *Educational Planning*, 22(2), 17-34.

28. Baylor, A. L., & Ritchie, D. (2002). What factors facilitate teacher skill, teacher morale, and perceived student learning in technology-using classrooms?. *Computers & education*, 39(4), 395-414.

29. Bello, S.M. (2012). Impact of ethical leadership on employee job performance. *International Journal of Business and Science, 3(11), 1-11.*

30. Bess, J. L., Johnstone, D. B., & Dee, J. R. (2012). *Understanding College and University Organization: Theories for Effective Policy and Practice: Volume II—Dynamics of the System.* Routledge.

31. Bilal, A., Zhongdong, X.. & Mohammad, F. (2017). "Are leaders' personality traits imperative for employees' job performance? The context of an emerging economy. *The Journal of Applied Business Research, 33(5), 1013-1023.*

32. Bill, N. (1999). *The Ten Laws of Leadership: Leading to success in a changing world.* Marvelous Christian Publications.

33. Blanco, L. R., & Del Canto, J. D. (2020). Impact of financial aid on student retention in public universities. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 15(7), 387-396.

34. Bolaji, S. D., Campbell-Evans, G., & Gray, J. (2016). Universal basic education policy implementation in Nigeria. *KEDI Journal of Educational Policy*, 13(2), 218-234

35. Bolaji, S. D., Campbell-Evans, G., & Gray, J. (2016). Universal basic education policy implementation in Nigeria. *KEDI Journal of Educational Policy*, 13(2), 109-118

36. Boyd, A. M., & Jackson, M. L. (2004). An effective model for rapid skills acquisition through a simulation-based integrated learning environment. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 30(1-2), 1-21.

37. Brown, A., & Green, T. (2020). Research infrastructure and academic productivity: A quantitative study. *Research Management Review*, 29(4), 117-134.

38. Budiharso, T., & Tarman, B. (2020). Improving quality education through better working

conditions of academic institutes. *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies, 7*(1), 99-115.

39. Bukhari, S. K. U. S., Gul, R., Bashir, T., Zakir, S., & Javed, T. (2023). RETRACTED ARTICLE: Exploring managerial skills of Pakistan Public Universities (PPUs)'middle managers for campus sustainability. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 13*(1), 73-91.

40. Caldwell, C., Karri, R., &Matula, T. (2005). Practicing what we teach-ethical considerations for business schools. *Journal of Academic Ethics, 3*(l), 1-25.

41. Chang, L. W., Azizan, B., & Amran, M. (2013). National unity at the university level: Importance of civilisational dialogue and way forward. *European Scientific Journal*, 8(5), 311-323

42. Chitanana, L. (2012). Bandwidth management in universities in Zimbabwe: Towards a responsible user base through effective policy implementation. *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology, 8*(2), 62-76.

43. Choi, S. E., & Wang, H. (2007). The promise of a managerial values approach to corporate philanthropy. *Journal of Business Ethics, 7(5),* 345-359.

44. Covelli, F. V (2017). Linking Theory to Practice: authentic Leadership. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal, 6*(3), 1-10.

45. Cruce, T. M., Wolniak, G. C., Seifert, T. A., & Pascarella, E. T. (2006). Impacts of good practices on cognitive development, learning orientations, and graduate degree plans during the first year of college. *Journal of College Student Development, 47*(4), 365-383.

46. Dean, K. (2008). Values- based leadership: I-low our personal values impact the workplace. *The Journal of Business Leadership, 7*(5), 345-359.

47. DeGroff, A., & Cargo, M. (2009). Policy implementation: Implications for evaluation. *New Directions for Evaluation, 2009*(124), 47-60.

48. DeGroff, A., & Cargo, M. (2009). Policy implementation: Implications for evaluation. *New Directions for Evaluation, 2009*(124), 47-60.

49. Dike, N. I. & Eze, R.O. (2009).Designing a curriculum. In U.M.O. Ivowi, K. Nwanto, C. Nwagabara, T. Ukwungwu, I.E. Emeli and V.

Grace (Eds.) Curriculum Theory and Practice. A Text of Curriculum Organisation of Nigeria.

50. Dougherty, K. J., & Reddy, V. T. (2011). The impacts of state performance funding systems on higher education institutions: Research literature review and policy recommendations. *Journal of Social Sciences, 4*(3), 77-91.

51. Durasamy, N. (2010). The Impact of the global crisis on ethical leadership: A case study of the South African public sector. *African Journal of Business Management, 400)*, 2087-2096.

52. Edward, M. (2020), *Principles of Ethical Leadership: Collaborative Leadership*. http:/!www.marshallgroup.corn/Lprinciplepethical-1eadershi

53. Egina, J. G. (2015). *Effectiveness of Human Resources Planning in Higher Learning Institutions: A Case of Mbeya University of Science and Technology* (Doctoral dissertation, The Open University of Tanzania

54. Ekundayo, H.T.,&Ajayi, A.(2009). Towards effective management of university education in Nigeria. *International P/GO Journal, and Academic Journals, 4*(8), 342- 347 .

55. Elenwa, U. A. (2020). Effective implementation of organisational policy through quality assurance techniques in university policy management. *Leadership, 2*(1), 54-69.

56. Elenwa, U. A. (2020). Effective implementation of organisational policy through quality assurance techniques in university policy management. *Leadership, 2*(1), 54-69.

57. Elias, R., Issa, R. R., & Wu, W. (2023). Progress on building information modeling education and talent acquisition. *International Journal of Construction Education and Research, 19*(4), 363-382.

58. Emaikwu, S. O. (2011). Integrating entrepreneurship skills acquisition in the university curriculum for national development. *Journal of Research in Education and Society, 2*(3), 40-48.

59. Ent, M. R., Baumeister, R. F., &Vonasch, A. J. (2012). Power, leadership, and self-regulation. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 6*(8), 619-630.

60. Enu, D.B., &Esu, A.E.O. (2011). Re-engineering values education in Nigeria as a catalyst for

national development. *International Education Studies, 4(*l), 147-153.

61. Eppley, K. (2009). Rural schools and the highly qualified teacher provision of No Child Left Behind: A critical policy analysis. *Journal of Research in Rural Education (Online)*, *24*(4), 1.

62. Evans, B. A., Snooks, H., Howson, H., & Davies, M. (2013). How hard can it be to include research evidence and evaluation in local health policy implementation? Results from a mixed methods study. *Implementation Science, 8*(1), 1-9.

63. Evans, R. (1996). *The human side of school change.' Reform, resistance, and the real-fl/k problems of innovation.* Jossey-Bass.

64. Eze, S. (2008). Nigeria: unity schools and the P.T.A. politics" (Web post). http://www.allafrica.com

65. Federal Republic of Nigeria (1997). Manual on University Management. NUC.

66. Federal Republic of Nigeria (FRN, 2004). National policy on education. NERDC

67. Ferreira, C., & Schulze, S. (2014). Teachers' experience of the implementation of values in education in schools:"Mind the gap". *South African Journal of Education*, *34*(1).

68. Francis, S., & Imiete, B. U. (2018). Internal control system as a mechanism for effective fund management of universities in Bayelsa State, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Social Sciences, 1(7)*, 77-91.

69. Fredman, S.D. (2008).Be a better leader, have a richer life. Harvard Business School Press.

70. Fullan, M. (2002). *The meaning of educational change*. OISE Press.

71. Furo, H. M., & Fabunmi, M. (2021). Evaluating influence of manpower plan implementation on staff retention in senior secondary schools in Adamawa state, Nigeria. *Black Sea Journal of Management and Marketing*, *2*(1), 39-46.

72. Gabriel, J .M.O. (2016). University hospitals. Journal of Tran 'sCultural Nursing, 1(2), 45-60.

73. Garcia, R., & Martinez, F. (2020). The role of residential facilities in student well-being. *Journal of Student Housing*, 34(2), 98-112.

74. Gaus, N., Yunus, M., Karim, A., & Sadia, H. (2019). The analysis of policy implementation models in higher education: the case study of Indonesia. *Policy Studies*, *40*(1), 92-109.

75. Gentile, M. C. (2010). *Giving voice to values: How to spealc your mind when you know what's right*. Yale University Press.