UKR Journal of Economics, Business and Management (UKRJEBM)
Homepage: https://ukrpublisher.com/ukrjebm/
Email: submit.ukrpublisher@gmail.com
Volume 2, Issue 1, 2026 **ISSN: 3049-429X (Online)**

Complex Diversities in Mental Health: A Critical Examination of Societal Stereotypes

Amb. Nnordee Bariagara King David, Ph.D

Registrar General, Awedu Institute of Business Management and Leadership (AIBML)

***Corresponding Author**: Amb. Nnordee Bariagara King David, Ph.D
DOI: *https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18438128*

Article History
Original Research Article
Received: 06-01-2026
Accepted: 17-01-2026
Published: 31-01-2026

Citation: Amb. Nnordee Bariagara King David. (2026). Complex Diversities in Mental Health: A Critical Examination of Societal Stereotypes. *UKR Journal of Economics, Business and Management (UKRJEBM), 2*(1), 216-222.

Abstract

Mental health is a complex and multifaceted domain, profoundly influenced by societal perceptions, structural inequalities, and intersecting social identities. Despite increased global awareness of mental health challenges, pervasive stereotypes continue to shape public attitudes, institutional practices, and individual experiences, often resulting in stigma, discrimination, and inequitable access to care. This study critically examines the role of societal stereotypes in influencing mental health outcomes, with particular reference to the United Kingdom, and explores how complex diversities such as ethnicity, gender, age, and socioeconomic status interact with these stereotypes to shape lived experiences. Drawing on Stigma Theory (Link & Phelan, 2001) and Intersectionality Theory (Crenshaw, 1989), the study situates stereotypes within broader social, cultural, and structural contexts, highlighting their multidimensional effects on mental health and well-being.

A qualitative critical review methodology was employed, analysing peer-reviewed articles, policy documents, and reports from authoritative global and UK-based sources. Thematic analysis revealed that societal stereotypes contribute to internalised stigma, social exclusion, reduced help-seeking behaviour, and diminished psychological well-being. Intersectional factors were found to intensify vulnerability, with ethnic minority groups, women, and socioeconomically disadvantaged populations experiencing compounded effects. Structural and institutional influences, including biased healthcare practices, policy gaps, and media narratives, further reinforced the negative impact of stereotypes. While global and UK research shows a trend toward more inclusive, recovery-oriented narratives, the integration of societal, structural, and intersectional perspectives remains limited.

The study identifies critical gaps in understanding how mental health diversities intersect with societal stereotypes and structural inequalities in the UK context. The findings underscore the need for multidimensional approaches to stigma reduction that integrate public education, policy reform, and culturally responsive interventions. By synthesising theoretical and empirical evidence, the study contributes to advancing inclusive mental health discourse, promoting equity in access to care, and informing evidence-based interventions that address both individual and structural determinants of mental health.

Keywords: *Mental health, societal stereotypes, stigma, intersectionality, structural inequality, United Kingdom, psychological well-being.*

1.0 Introduction

Mental health has emerged as a critical global public health and social justice concern, yet it remains persistently shaped by societal stereotypes that obscure its complexity and diversity. Globally, mental disorders account for a substantial proportion of years lived with disability, affecting approximately one in eight people worldwide (World Health Organization [WHO], 2022). Despite increased awareness and policy attention, stigma and stereotyping continue to influence public attitudes, institutional responses, and individual experiences of

mental health across societies. Scholars argue that stereotypes simplified and often pejorative cognitive representations function as powerful social mechanisms that reinforce exclusion, misrecognition, and inequality for individuals experiencing mental distress (Corrigan & Watson, 2002; Link & Phelan, 2001).

From a global perspective, contemporary research highlights that mental health stigma is both culturally embedded and structurally sustained. Thornicroft et al. (2016) demonstrate that stigma operates through interlocking processes of labelling, stereotyping, separation, status loss, and discrimination, which collectively undermine recovery and social participation. At the international level, studies indicate that stereotypes portraying people with mental illness as dangerous, incompetent, or unpredictable remain widespread, despite limited empirical support for such beliefs (Angermeyer & Dietrich, 2006). These stereotypes are further amplified by media representations and political discourses, which frequently associate mental illness with risk and social deviance (Stuart, 2006).

At the continental level, variations in cultural belief systems and social norms shape how mental health stereotypes are constructed and maintained. In Europe, research has shown that biomedical explanations of mental illness coexist with moral and social judgements, often resulting in ambivalent public attitudes greater acceptance of treatment alongside persistent social distance (Schomerus et al., 2012). In contrast, studies across parts of Africa and Asia highlight the continued influence of spiritual and supernatural explanations, which can intensify stigma and delay formal help-seeking (Read et al., 2009; Gureje et al., 2015). Nevertheless, across continents, the literature converges on the conclusion that stigma is not merely an individual prejudice but a socially reproduced phenomenon embedded in institutional practices and power relations (Hatzenbuehler et al., 2013).

Within the United Kingdom, the issue of mental health stigma is further complicated by profound social and demographic diversity. Empirical evidence indicates that mental health outcomes and experiences of stigma vary significantly across ethnic, socioeconomic, and gender groups (Nazroo et al., 2020). UK-based studies reveal that ethnic minority populations are more likely to experience both poorer mental health outcomes and higher levels of discrimination within mental health services, reflecting the intersection of racialisation and psychiatric labelling (Fernando, 2017; Bhui et al., 2018). Moreover, data from the UK Household Longitudinal Study demonstrate a strong association between perceived discrimination and common mental disorders, underscoring the psychological consequences of social exclusion and stereotyping (Wallace et al., 2016).

The core variables underpinning this study societal stereotypes, mental health diversity, stigma, and psychosocial outcomes are deeply interrelated. Stereotypes constitute the cognitive dimension of stigma, shaping expectations and interpretations of behaviour, while prejudice reflects the emotional responses that accompany these beliefs, and discrimination represents their behavioural expression (Corrigan et al., 2004). These dimensions interact dynamically with structural factors such as socioeconomic inequality, institutional bias, and policy frameworks. For instance, stereotypes embedded within healthcare systems may influence diagnostic practices and treatment pathways, contributing to over-pathologisation or under-treatment of certain social groups (Pilgrim & Rogers, 2014). At the individual level, internalised stigma can erode self-esteem, reduce help-seeking behaviour, and exacerbate psychological distress (Livingston & Boyd, 2010).

The motivation for this study arises from the persistent disjunction between the growing recognition of mental health as a multidimensional and socially patterned phenomenon and the continued dominance of reductive societal stereotypes. While existing literature has extensively documented the prevalence and consequences of stigma, there remains a need for critical examinations that foreground complex diversities including cultural, structural, and intersectional dimensions within mental health discourse, particularly in the UK context. By critically interrogating how societal stereotypes are constructed, reproduced, and contested, this article seeks to contribute to a more nuanced understanding of mental health diversity and to inform scholarly, policy, and practice-based efforts aimed at fostering equity, inclusion, and social justice in mental health.

1.2 Aim of the Study

The aim of this study is to critically examine the complex diversities inherent in mental health by analysing how societal stereotypes are constructed, sustained, and experienced across different social contexts, with particular reference to the United Kingdom. Specifically, the study seeks to explore how cognitive stereotypes, affective prejudices, and structural forms of discrimination intersect with factors such as culture, ethnicity, gender, and socioeconomic status to shape mental health perceptions, experiences, and outcomes. Through this critical examination, the study aims to contribute to a more nuanced understanding of mental health diversity and to inform inclusive, equitable, and stigma-responsive mental health discourse, policy, and practice.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The specific objectives of this study are to:

1. **Examine the nature and content of societal stereotypes** associated with mental health and how they are socially constructed and reproduced across diverse contexts.

2. **Analyse the relationship between mental health stereotypes and stigma**, including prejudice and discriminatory practices, and their effects on individuals' psychological well-being and social inclusion.

3. **Explore the role of complex diversities** such as ethnicity, gender, age, culture, and socioeconomic status in shaping experiences and interpretations of mental health and stigma, with particular reference to the United Kingdom.

4. **Investigate the influence of structural and institutional factors** (including healthcare systems, media representations, and policy frameworks) in reinforcing or challenging mental health stereotypes.

5. **Assess the implications of societal stereotypes for help-seeking behaviour**, access to mental health services, and treatment outcomes.

6. **Contribute to scholarly and policy discourse** by proposing informed perspectives that support stigma reduction, culturally responsive mental health practices, and inclusive mental health policies.

Literature Review

2.1 Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework of this study is informed by both classical and contemporary scholarship on mental health, stigma, and social perception. Mental health outcomes are conceptualised as multidimensional, encompassing psychological well-being, help-seeking behaviour, social participation, and equitable access to mental health services. Early conceptualisations of mental health were largely grounded in biomedical explanations that emphasised pathology and diagnosis (Kraepelin, 1917). However, contemporary perspectives adopt a biopsychosocial and rights-based orientation, recognising mental health as a dynamic condition shaped by social relations, cultural meanings, and structural contexts (World Health Organization, 2022).

Societal stereotypes constitute a central explanatory construct within this framework and are understood as socially produced belief systems that attribute simplified and often negative characteristics to individuals experiencing mental health conditions. Foundational work on prejudice and social categorisation (Allport, 1954) conceptualised stereotypes as cognitive shortcuts that inform social judgement. This understanding was later extended by Link and Phelan (2001), who situated stereotypes within broader stigma processes involving labelling, separation, status loss, and discrimination. More recent conceptualisations further emphasise the role of structural and institutional forces, such as media representation, policy arrangements, and healthcare practices in sustaining and legitimising stereotypical narratives about mental illness (Hatzenbuehler et al., 2013).

Within this framework, societal stereotypes are theorised to shape mental health outcomes through interconnected pathways of stigma, discriminatory practices, and internalised negative self-perceptions. These processes influence how mental distress is interpreted, how individuals are treated within social and institutional settings, and whether support is sought or avoided. The conceptual flow is thus articulated as a progression from socially embedded stereotypes to stigma-driven experiences, culminating in varied mental health outcomes, with complex diversities such as ethnicity, gender, and socioeconomic status acting as contextual conditions that intensify or mitigate these effects. This framework reflects an evolution from individual-level explanations toward integrative and intersectional understandings of mental health in contemporary research.

2.2 Empirical Studies Review

Empirical scholarship on mental health has consistently demonstrated that societal belief systems and social responses play a decisive role in shaping mental health experiences and outcomes. Early empirical investigations largely focused on public attitudes toward mental illness, documenting widespread fear, social distance, and misconceptions. For instance, Angermeyer and Matschinger (2005) found that stereotypical beliefs portraying individuals with mental illness as dangerous significantly predicted avoidance behaviours across European populations. These early studies established a foundational link between socially shared beliefs and adverse psychosocial outcomes, setting the stage for more nuanced analyses in subsequent decades.

Later empirical studies expanded this focus by examining how stigma-related experiences influence psychological well-being and engagement with mental health services. Livingston and Boyd (2010), through a systematic review, demonstrated that internalised stigma is strongly associated with reduced self-esteem, heightened depressive symptoms, and reluctance to seek professional help. Their findings align with UK-based longitudinal research by Evans-Lacko et al. (2014), which reported that perceived stigma and anticipated discrimination were significant barriers to mental health service utilisation. While these studies converge on the detrimental effects of stigma, they

differ in emphasis: some foreground individual psychological processes, whereas others highlight institutional and systemic dynamics.

More recent empirical work has adopted an intersectional lens, examining how mental health experiences are shaped by overlapping social identities and structural inequalities. Nazroo et al. (2020) provide robust evidence that experiences of racism and social exclusion significantly exacerbate psychological distress among ethnic minority groups in the United Kingdom. Similarly, Bhui et al. (2018) argue that mental health disparities cannot be adequately understood without accounting for structural discrimination embedded within healthcare systems. These findings contrast with earlier culturally reductive explanations, marking a shift toward structural and socio-political interpretations of mental health inequality.

Contemporary studies also underscore the role of discourse and representation in shaping mental health outcomes. Stuart (2006) and more recently Ohlsson et al. (2022) illustrate how media narratives reinforce stereotypical portrayals that sustain fear and misunderstanding, thereby influencing public attitudes and policy responses. However, emerging evidence suggests a gradual trend toward more recovery-oriented and inclusive narratives, particularly in high-income countries, although these shifts remain uneven and insufficiently evaluated.

Overall, the empirical literature reveals a clear progression from descriptive studies of public attitudes to more complex analyses incorporating structural, cultural, and intersectional dimensions. Despite this advancement, significant gaps remain. There is limited integrative research that simultaneously examines societal stereotypes, structural conditions, and diverse mental health outcomes within a unified analytical framework, particularly in the UK context. Moreover, few studies critically synthesise these dimensions to inform inclusive policy and practice. This study addresses these gaps by positioning societal stereotypes and complex diversities as central to understanding mental health outcomes, thereby extending and enriching the existing empirical conversation.

2.3 Theoretical Review

2.3.1 Stigma Theory

Stigma Theory, advanced by Link and Phelan (2001), provides a foundational framework for understanding how mental health-related stereotypes are socially produced and sustained. The thrust of the theory lies in its articulation of stigma as a multidimensional process involving labelling, stereotyping, separation, status loss, and discrimination, all occurring within contexts of unequal power. Rather than viewing stigma as merely an individual attitude, the theory emphasises its social and structural embeddedness. Empirical critiques of Stigma Theory argue that while it robustly explains the mechanisms through which stigma operates, it offers limited guidance on how individuals actively resist or reinterpret stigmatizing narratives (Thoits, 2011). Nonetheless, the theory remains highly relevant to this study as it elucidates how societal stereotypes shape social interactions, institutional responses, and lived experiences of mental health, thereby influencing mental health outcomes across diverse populations.

2.3.2 Intersectionality Theory

Intersectionality Theory, originally conceptualised by **Crenshaw (1989)** and later extended within health and social research, provides a critical lens for examining how multiple social identities and systems of power intersect to shape mental health experiences. The core thrust of the theory is that social categories such as race, gender, class, and age do not operate independently but interact in complex ways to produce unique forms of advantage or disadvantage. Empirical critiques suggest that intersectionality can be methodologically challenging to operationalise, particularly in quantitative research, due to the complexity of capturing intersecting identities and power structures (McCall, 2005). Despite these challenges, the theory is particularly relevant to this study as it enables a nuanced analysis of mental health stereotypes within contexts of complex diversity, highlighting how societal stigma is differentially experienced and intensified across intersecting social positions, especially within the United Kingdom.

3.1 Materials and Methods

This study adopts a **qualitative, critical review design** to examine the complex diversities inherent in mental health and the societal stereotypes that shape related experiences and outcomes. A qualitative approach is considered appropriate given the study's emphasis on meaning, interpretation, and the socio-cultural construction of mental health, rather than on numerical measurement. The design enables an in-depth interrogation of existing empirical and theoretical literature as a means of synthesising knowledge, identifying patterns, and exposing conceptual and contextual gaps within the field.

The materials for the study consist of peer-reviewed journal articles, authoritative books, policy documents, and reports produced by recognised international and national bodies such as the World Health Organization and leading UK-based mental health research institutions. Sources were purposively selected based on their relevance to mental health stigma, societal stereotypes, structural discrimination, and diversity-related mental health outcomes. Emphasis was placed on literature published from the early 2000s to the present in order to reflect both

foundational and contemporary conceptualisations, while earlier seminal works were included where they provided critical theoretical grounding.

Data collection involved a systematic and thematic review of literature, guided by the study objectives and theoretical frameworks. Academic databases including Scopus, PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were consulted using key terms such as *mental health stereotypes*, *stigma and discrimination*, *intersectionality and mental health*, and *UK mental health inequalities*. Inclusion criteria focused on studies that explicitly examined the social, cultural, or structural dimensions of mental health, while studies with purely biomedical or clinical emphases were excluded unless they engaged with stigma or social perception.

The method of analysis was thematic and interpretive. Selected materials were read iteratively and coded to identify recurring themes, points of convergence and divergence, and evolving scholarly trends. Particular attention was given to how societal stereotypes were conceptualised, how they interacted with variables such as ethnicity, gender, and socioeconomic status, and how these interactions influenced mental health outcomes. Comparative analysis was employed to contrast early and contemporary perspectives, as well as global and UK-specific findings, thereby situating the study within a broader scholarly conversation.

Ethical considerations were addressed through the exclusive use of secondary data from publicly available sources, ensuring that no human participants were directly involved. The study maintains academic integrity through rigorous citation practices and critical engagement with sources. Overall, this methodological approach provides a robust and reflective foundation for examining societal stereotypes and complex diversities in mental health within a UK-informed global context.

4.0 Results

Given that this study is a critical review of existing literature, the results are presented thematically, reflecting the patterns, trends, and gaps identified across the empirical and theoretical sources examined. The findings are organised according to the core themes derived from the study objectives and conceptual framework.

4.1 Societal Stereotypes and Mental Health Outcomes

The review indicates a consistent link between societal stereotypes and adverse mental health outcomes. Studies demonstrate that stereotypes portraying individuals with mental illness as dangerous, unpredictable, or incompetent contribute to social distancing, reduced help-seeking behaviour, and internalised stigma (Angermeyer & Matschinger, 2005; Livingston & Boyd, 2010). UK-based research highlights that these stereotypes are often intertwined with media representations and institutional narratives, reinforcing exclusion and structural barriers to mental health care (Evans-Lacko et al., 2014). Across global contexts, similar patterns are observed, though the content and intensity of stereotypes vary with cultural norms and societal structures (Thornicroft et al., 2016).

4.2 Intersectionality and Differential Impacts

The literature further reveals that the effects of societal stereotypes are not uniform but are shaped by intersecting social identities, including ethnicity, gender, age, and socioeconomic status (Nazroo et al., 2020; Bhui et al., 2018). For instance, ethnic minority groups in the UK experience compounded stigma due to racialisation within healthcare systems, while women and younger adults are more vulnerable to internalised stigma and discrimination (Fernando, 2017). These findings align with Intersectionality Theory (Crenshaw, 1989), highlighting the multiplicative effects of intersecting identities on mental health outcomes.

4.3 Structural and Institutional Influences

Empirical evidence underscores the role of structural and institutional factors in reinforcing stereotypes. Discriminatory practices in mental health services, biased policy frameworks, and unequal media portrayals contribute to persistent mental health inequalities (Hatzenbuehler et al., 2013; Stuart, 2006). Conversely, interventions that address structural stigma—such as inclusive policy reforms and public awareness campaigns—demonstrate measurable improvements in help-seeking and social acceptance (Ohlsson et al., 2022).

4.4 Trends and Gaps in Literature

The literature trend reveals a progression from studies focusing on individual attitudes and behaviours toward more integrative analyses incorporating social, cultural, and structural dimensions of mental health. However, gaps remain: few studies comprehensively examine the interplay between societal stereotypes, intersectional diversity, and mental health outcomes within the UK context. Additionally, limited research critically evaluates how structural interventions interact with individual and societal-level stigma.

5.1 Summary

This study critically examined the complex diversities in mental health and the societal stereotypes that shape perceptions, experiences, and outcomes. Drawing on empirical studies, theoretical perspectives, and policy documents, the review highlighted that societal stereotypes cognitive, affective, and structural negatively influence

mental health outcomes through stigma, discrimination, and internalised negative self-perceptions. Intersectional factors such as ethnicity, gender, age, and socioeconomic status were identified as significant moderators, intensifying vulnerability to stigma and inequitable access to care. The review also traced a scholarly trend from individualistic conceptualisations of mental health to multidimensional, structural, and intersectional analyses. Despite these advancements, gaps remain in integrated, UK-specific research that simultaneously addresses societal stereotypes, structural inequalities, and diverse mental health outcomes.

5.2 Discussion

The findings underscore the persistent influence of societal stereotypes on mental health, aligning with **Stigma Theory** (Link & Phelan, 2001), which situates stigma within power-laden social processes of labelling, stereotyping, and discrimination. Empirical evidence confirms that stereotypes reduce help-seeking behaviour, social participation, and psychological well-being (Livingston & Boyd, 2010; Evans-Lacko et al., 2014). Intersectionality Theory (Crenshaw, 1989) further illuminates how these stereotypes do not affect all individuals equally. For example, ethnic minority populations in the UK experience compounded disadvantage due to overlapping axes of social identity, structural discrimination, and societal stereotyping (Nazroo et al., 2020; Bhui et al., 2018).

The discussion also reveals the role of structural and institutional contexts, such as healthcare systems, media representations, and policy frameworks, in perpetuating stereotypes (Hatzenbuehler et al., 2013; Stuart, 2006). While public awareness campaigns and policy reforms demonstrate potential to reduce stigma, their effectiveness remains limited without addressing intersectional and structural dimensions. This indicates a critical need for integrated approaches that combine societal, institutional, and policy interventions to promote equitable mental health outcomes.

5.3 Findings

1. Societal stereotypes significantly influence mental health outcomes by fostering stigma, discrimination, and internalised negative self-concepts.

2. Intersectional factors including ethnicity, gender, socioeconomic status, and age moderate the impact of societal stereotypes, intensifying vulnerability among certain groups.

3. Structural and institutional forces amplify the effects of stereotypes, particularly through biased healthcare practices, inequitable policies, and media narratives.

4. **Research trends** show a shift from individualistic to multidimensional and intersectional analyses, yet there is a notable gap in integrated studies focusing on the UK context.

5.4 Conclusion

Societal stereotypes remain a persistent barrier to mental health equity, shaping both perceptions and lived experiences of individuals with mental health conditions. The interplay of cognitive, affective, and structural dimensions of stigma underscores the complexity of mental health diversities. Intersectional factors exacerbate vulnerability, while structural and institutional practices often reinforce inequities. Addressing these issues requires both theoretical and practical engagement, integrating societal, cultural, and policy-level interventions. This study contributes to the discourse by highlighting the multidimensional and intersectional nature of mental health stigma and offering a foundation for inclusive, context-specific strategies in the United Kingdom and beyond.

5.5 Recommendations

1. Policy Reform: Implement comprehensive mental health policies that address structural stigma and institutional discrimination, ensuring equitable access for all social groups.

2. Public Awareness and Education: Design and implement culturally sensitive campaigns to challenge societal stereotypes and promote accurate understanding of mental health conditions.

3. Intersectional Approaches: Integrate intersectionality into mental health research, service provision, and policy-making to address compounded vulnerabilities among minority and marginalised populations.

4. Media Engagement: Encourage responsible media representations that counter stereotypes and promote recovery-oriented narratives.

5. Future Research: Conduct integrated empirical studies in the UK context that simultaneously examine societal stereotypes, structural factors, and mental health outcomes to inform evidence-based interventions.

References

1. Allport, G. W. (1954). *The nature of prejudice*. Addison-Wesley.
2. Angermeyer, M. C., & Dietrich, S. (2006). Public beliefs about and attitudes towards people with mental illness: A review of population studies. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 113(3), 163–179.
3. Angermeyer, M. C., & Matschinger, H. (2005). The stigma of mental illness in Germany: Results

of a representative population survey. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 51(2), 139–150.

4. Bhui, K., Warfa, N., Edonya, P., McKenzie, K., & Bhugra, D. (2018). Cultural competence in mental health care: A review of model evaluations. *BMC Health Services Research*, 18, 496.
5. Corrigan, P. W., & Watson, A. C. (2002). Understanding the impact of stigma on people with mental illness. *World Psychiatry*, 1(1), 16–20.
6. Corrigan, P. W., Markowitz, F. E., Watson, A. C., Rowan, D., & Kubiak, M. A. (2004). An attribution model of public discrimination towards persons with mental illness. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 45(2), 162–179.
7. Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989(1), 139–167.
8. Evans-Lacko, S., Brohan, E., Mojtabai, R., & Thornicroft, G. (2014). Association between public views of mental illness and self-stigma among individuals with mental illness in 14 European countries. *Psychological Medicine*, 44(1), 67–82.
9. Fernando, S. (2017). *Mental health, race and culture* (3rd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.
10. Gureje, O., Lasebikan, V. O., Ephraim-Oluwanuga, O., Olley, B. O., & Kola, L. (2015). Community study of knowledge of and attitude to mental illness in Nigeria. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 186(5), 436–441.
11. Hatzenbuehler, M. L., Phelan, J. C., & Link, B. G. (2013). Stigma as a fundamental cause of population health inequalities. *American Journal of Public Health*, 103(5), 813–821.
12. Kraepelin, E. (1917). *Psychiatrie: Ein Lehrbuch für Studierende und Ärzte* (7th ed.). Barth. Link, B. G., & Phelan, J. C. (2001). Conceptualizing stigma. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 27, 363–385.
13. Livingston, J. D., & Boyd, J. E. (2010). Correlates and consequences of internalized stigma for people living with mental illness: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Social Science & Medicine*, 71(12), 2150–2161.
14. McCall, L. (2005). The complexity of intersectionality. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 30(3), 1771–1800.
15. Nazroo, J. Y., Bhui, K. S., & Rhodes, J. (2020). Where next for understanding race/ethnic inequalities in severe mental illness? Structural, interpersonal and institutional racism. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 42(2), 262–276.
16. Ohlsson, B., Ekelund, J., & Eriksson, M. (2022). Media representations of mental illness: Implications for stigma reduction. *BMC Psychology*, 10, 101.
17. Pilgrim, D., & Rogers, A. (2014). *Key concepts in mental health* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
18. Stuart, H. (2006). Media portrayal of mental illness and its treatments: What effect does it have on people with mental illness? *CNS Drugs*, 20(2), 99–106.
19. Thornicroft, G., Mehta, N., Clement, S., Evans-Lacko, S., Doherty, M., Rose, D., … Henderson, C. (2016). Evidence for effective interventions to reduce mental-health- related stigma and discrimination. *The Lancet*, 387(10023), 1123–1132.
20. Wallace, S., Nazroo, J., & Bécares, L. (2016). Cumulative exposure to racial discrimination across time and domains: Exploring racism's long-term impact on mental health in the UK. *American Journal of Public Health*, 106(7), 1294–1300.
21. World Health Organization. (2022). *World mental health report: Transforming mental health for all*. WHO Press.