

Commercial Banks and Performance of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises in Imo State, Nigeria

Sampson Ikenna Ogoke¹, Akujuobi Ngozi Edith², Dr Odingbe Jude Chijekwu (PhD)³

^{1,2} Department of Banking and Finance Kingsley Ozumba Mbadiwe University, Ideato, Imo State

³ Department of Banking and Finance, Madonna University Nigeria, Okija Campus,

***Corresponding Author:** Sampson Ikenna Ogoke

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17552817>

Article History	Abstract
Original Research Article	<i>This study examined Commercial Banks and Performance of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises in Imo State, Nigeria. The aim was to evaluate how commercial bank financing has influenced small-scale entrepreneurial development in Imo State using statistical analysis. The study used quantitative, ex post facto research design relying on secondary data. Data were sourced from Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin, World Bank Data Base and the owner of the Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises. The study had commercial banks total loans and advances granted to SMEs in Imo State as independent variable while SME Contribution to Imo State GDP (%), SME Employment Generation (%) and SME Firm Survival Rate (%) were used as dependent variables. Descriptive Statistics, Pearson Correlation Analysis and Multiple Regression Analysis were used as data analysis methods. Descriptive analysis revealed that while bank lending to SMEs grew in absolute terms from ₦45.2 billion in 2014 to ₦70.2 billion in 2023, it remained less than 0.3% of total private-sector credit, highlighting a marginal share. Correlation and regression results confirmed that bank financing has a strong positive effect on SME contribution to GDP and a moderate effect on employment, but a weak and statistically insignificant effect on firm survival. This implies that while financing enhances SME growth and job creation, sustainability depends on broader factors such as infrastructure, inflation, and managerial skills. The study therefore concludes that financing is necessary but not sufficient for sustainable SME development, which requires a combination of credit access, supportive policies, and institutional capacity-building. The study recommend that Commercial banks should increase the share of credit allocated to SMEs and design flexible loan products tailored to their needs, while government should strengthen intervention funds and credit guarantee schemes to reduce bank risks.</i> Keywords: Commercial Banks, Performance, Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises, Imo State, Nigeria
Received: 20-10-2025	
Accepted: 30-10-2025	
Published: 07-11-2025	
Copyright © 2025 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited. Citation: Sampson Ikenna Ogoke, Akujuobi Ngozi Edith, Dr Odingbe Jude Chijekwu (PhD), (2025). Commercial Banks and Performance of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises in Imo State, Nigeria. UKR Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies (UKRJMS), Volume 1(9), 10-31.	

INTRODUCTION

Following Nigeria's independence in 1960, the federal government adopted an interventionist economic policy, positioning itself as a key driver of industrial and commercial activities to redress the economic neglect of the colonial administration (Ariyo, 2005). The colonial economy was largely extractive, focusing on the exportation of raw materials and importation of finished goods, leaving minimal room for indigenous industrial participation. In an attempt to correct this imbalance, the government embarked on ambitious industrialization

programmes that prioritized state-owned enterprises and capital-intensive industries. One of the key initiatives was the establishment of the Nigerian Industrial Development Bank (NIDB) in 1964, which was mandated to provide long-term financing for large-scale industrial projects to accelerate industrialization (Ogujiuba, Ohuche, & Adenuga, 2004). Although these policies were conceived to promote economic growth and reduce dependence on imports, the collapse of the oil boom in the early 1980s exposed the structural weaknesses of Nigeria's industrial

strategy. The overreliance on imported inputs, coupled with poor managerial expertise in state enterprises, led to idle capacities and a decline in Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (Ariyo, 2005; Global Journals, 2013).

These economic challenges prompted a paradigm shift in policy thinking, leading to the recognition of small- and medium-scale enterprises (SMEs) as pivotal drivers of sustainable economic growth. SMEs were identified as catalysts for entrepreneurship, grassroots job creation, and equitable wealth distribution, with a capacity to reduce poverty and foster inclusive development (OECD, 2017). The Nigerian Enterprises Promotion Decree (1972/1977) was enacted to enhance indigenous participation in economic activities by limiting foreign ownership in certain sectors, while regional initiatives such as the East Central State's Fund for Small Scale Industries (FUSSI) credit scheme of 1971 provided financial assistance to small businesses (Chuku, 2010). These efforts signaled a deliberate policy shift from capital-intensive projects to SME promotion, which aligned with global evidence that small businesses play a significant role in structural transformation and industrial deepening (Beck & Demirgüç-Kunt, 2006).

However, despite these policies, SMEs in Nigeria have continued to experience multiple constraints, particularly inadequate access to finance. Financing remains a critical determinant of SME survival and growth, yet commercial banks, which serve as financial intermediaries, have historically shown reluctance to lend to the sector (Sanusi, 2011). Most banks prefer lending to large, well-established firms because they are perceived to present lower credit risk and offer adequate collateral, unlike SMEs that often lack financial records, credit history, and the fixed assets required as loan security (Beck & Demirgüç-Kunt, 2006). This situation has resulted in a persistent financing gap that constrains SMEs from expanding production, adopting innovative technologies, and improving competitiveness, which in turn limits their contribution to employment creation and economic diversification (World Bank, 2020).

Recognizing this financing challenge, the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) and successive governments have introduced several interventions designed to improve SME access to credit. Some of these include the Small and Medium Enterprises Equity Investment Scheme (SMEEIS), which required banks to set aside 10% of their profit before tax for equity investments in SMEs; the Microfinance Policy and Credit Guarantee Scheme (SMECGS); and the Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Fund (MSMEDF), launched to provide affordable and accessible funding to entrepreneurs (CBN, 2010). While these schemes have contributed to improved funding opportunities for some businesses, empirical evidence

indicates that SME credit penetration remains low, often accounting for less than 10% of total private-sector credit in Nigeria (CBN, 2020; Nwachukwu & Eke, 2021). This credit shortfall continues to hinder the potential impact of SMEs on national development and economic diversification.

Empirical studies consistently affirm that commercial bank financing has a significant positive effect on SME growth and, by extension, on the overall economy. For instance, Okore, Nwadiubu, and Okolie (2023) found a statistically significant relationship between commercial bank credit to SMEs and GDP growth in Nigeria. Similarly, Afolabi (2013) argued that SMEs contribute substantially to job creation, income generation, and poverty reduction, but their full potential is limited by inadequate financing. Gololo (2017) added that, beyond the provision of credit, banks must play advisory and capacity-building roles, helping SMEs to improve risk management, financial literacy, and operational efficiency. These findings underscore the dual role of commercial banks as both financiers and business development partners.

In response to this growing awareness, several commercial banks in Nigeria have launched innovative SME-focused products and services. For example, First City Monument Bank (FCMB) developed Hub One, a tech-enabled co-working space to support technology startups, and SheVentures, a program designed to empower women-owned businesses through finance and capacity development (FCMB, 2020). Similarly, Wema Bank introduced ALAT for Business, a fully digital banking platform for SMEs, which has improved access to financial services for small enterprises operating outside major urban centers (Wema Bank, 2020). These initiatives represent an emerging trend in which commercial banks are broadening their roles beyond traditional lending to include mentorship, market linkages, and tailored financial solutions.

Nonetheless, several critical challenges persist in SME financing. High interest rates, stringent collateral requirements, limited credit history, and weak managerial capacity continue to impede effective SME performance (Beck & Demirgüç-Kunt, 2006; World Bank, 2020). Furthermore, many SMEs operate in informal settings with inadequate financial records, which reduce their creditworthiness and increase lending risks for banks. The combination of these factors has created a cycle of underinvestment, low productivity, and vulnerability among SMEs. To address these challenges, experts advocate for holistic approaches where commercial banks work in collaboration with government agencies, development finance institutions, and non-bank actors to

provide risk-sharing mechanisms, technical assistance, and tailored financial products (Sanusi, 2011).

Therefore, investigating the role of commercial banks in small-scale entrepreneurial development remains imperative. Such research is critical for identifying systemic barriers, assessing the effectiveness of existing interventions, and developing innovative strategies to enhance SME access to finance. The outcome of this research can inform policies that not only strengthen SME performance but also accelerate Nigeria's economic diversification, job creation, and overall industrialization agenda in line with national and global development goals (World Bank, 2020).

Statement of the Problem

Small-scale enterprises (SMEs) in Imo State, as in other parts of Nigeria, are critical to economic growth and poverty reduction, yet they face persistent challenges that undermine their ability to thrive. One of the most pressing problems is the limited access to finance, particularly from commercial banks. Despite being recognized as the engine of economic development, SMEs often lack the formal structures and collateral demanded by commercial banks, which discourages financial institutions from lending to them (Maier et al., 2018; Wachuku, 2014). Many small-scale entrepreneurs in Imo State operate in informal sectors, without registered business names, audited financial statements, or sufficient credit history, making them ineligible for traditional loan products. This situation is further compounded by high mentorship, flexible credit terms, and follow-up services, most banks in Imo State still offer standardized loan packages designed for larger enterprises (Onuegbu et al., 2025). This lack of customized financial services undermines SMEs' capacity to grow and scale, thereby reducing their overall contribution to local development and job creation. According to NBS (2021), SMEs account for about 96% of Nigerian businesses, but their potential is heavily underutilized due to financing constraints.

Another significant challenge is the lack of financial literacy and business documentation among SME operators. Many entrepreneurs in Imo State are not adequately trained in financial planning, bookkeeping, or proposal writing skills that are essential for convincing banks of their creditworthiness (Ikem, Onwuchekwa & Ifeanyi, 2012). As a result, loan applications are often rejected due to poorly written proposals or an inability to provide necessary documentation such as business plans, cash flow statements, and collateral details.

Moreover, although the Federal Government of Nigeria has implemented several intervention programmes, such as the SME Credit Guarantee Scheme, accessing these funds

remains difficult for many entrepreneurs in Imo State. Bureaucratic bottlenecks, lack of awareness, and cumbersome requirements prevent most small-scale business owners from benefitting from such initiatives (Okonkwo & Obidike, 2024). This leaves a gap between policy intentions and practical implementation on the ground. In recent years, the rise of digital banking and fintech platforms has provided new avenues for financing, but adoption among SMEs in Imo State remains low. While digital tools could help bridge the gap in credit access, many entrepreneurs are still unfamiliar with these technologies, distrust online financial systems, or lack the skills to navigate them effectively (Onuegbu et al., 2025). This technological divide further limits the capacity of SMEs to leverage emerging financing models.

Finally, macroeconomic instability and infrastructural deficits such as inflation, currency fluctuations, erratic power supply, and poor road networks have worsened the lending environment. Banks become more risk-averse in such contexts, which translates to tougher lending terms or outright refusal to engage with small businesses (Nwankwo, 2024). Consequently, many viable SMEs in Imo State are trapped in a cycle of underfunding, stunted growth, and eventual closure. In light of these multifaceted challenges, there is an urgent need to examine the role of commercial banks in promoting small-scale entrepreneurial development in Imo State. A critical evaluation of the existing banking structures, SME needs, and policy gaps can provide a foundation for reforms that will enhance credit accessibility, financial inclusion, and business sustainability for SMEs in the region. interest rates and stringent repayment conditions, which discourage even eligible SMEs from applying for credit (Okonkwo & Obidike, 2024). Additionally, commercial banks have been slow to develop tailored financial instruments and support systems that reflect the unique needs of small-scale businesses. Instead of providing. The study tested the hypotheses below.

Research Hypotheses

H₀₁: Commercial banks do not significantly provide financial support to small-scale enterprises in Nigeria.

H₀₂: There are no significant challenges faced by small-scale entrepreneurs in accessing credit from commercial banks.

H₀₃: Commercial bank financing does not significantly influence the performance and sustainability of small-scale enterprises.

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

Small-Scale Entrepreneurial Development

Small-scale enterprises (SSEs) are widely recognized as essential drivers of economic development, particularly in emerging economies such as Nigeria. According to the Small and Medium Enterprises Development Agency of Nigeria (SMEDAN, 2021), small-scale enterprises are businesses with a workforce of 10–49 employees and total assets (excluding land and buildings) of between ₦5 million and ₦50 million. These enterprises typically operate in various sectors, including agriculture, manufacturing, retail trade, and services. Their relatively small size allows them to adapt quickly to changing market conditions and exploit niche opportunities that larger firms may overlook (Afolabi, 2013). The development of small-scale enterprises is, therefore, critical to achieving sustainable economic diversification, especially in economies heavily dependent on single resources, such as Nigeria's reliance on crude oil exports.

Entrepreneurial development, in the context of small-scale enterprises, refers to the process of enhancing the capacity of individuals and businesses to identify, initiate, and sustain business ventures profitably (Hisrich & Peters, 2016). This process involves skills acquisition, access to finance, mentorship, adoption of appropriate technology, and a supportive regulatory environment. The World Bank (2020) emphasizes that entrepreneurial development is a multidimensional process requiring financial inclusion, institutional support, and a business-friendly ecosystem. In Nigeria, small-scale entrepreneurial development is considered a policy priority because of its potential to generate employment, reduce poverty, and stimulate local production.

Globally, evidence shows that small-scale enterprises account for the majority of businesses and employment. For instance, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2017) reports that small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) account for over 90% of all businesses and more than 50% of employment worldwide. In Africa, SMEs contribute up to 80% of jobs and over 50% of GDP (Ayyagari, Demirgüç-Kunt, & Maksimovic, 2014). In Nigeria, SMEDAN and the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS, 2021) estimate that there are over 39 million micro, small, and medium enterprises, employing about 76.5% of the national workforce and contributing approximately 48% to GDP. These statistics illustrate the vital role of small-scale enterprises in economic growth and underscore the importance of policies and institutions that promote their development.

However, small-scale enterprises face unique challenges that hinder their growth and sustainability. These include

inadequate access to finance, poor infrastructure, low technological adoption, limited access to markets, and insufficient managerial and technical skills (Beck & Demirgüç-Kunt, 2006). Financing is often cited as the most critical obstacle because small-scale enterprises typically lack collateral, have weak financial records, and operate in informal settings, which makes them unattractive to commercial banks (Sanusi, 2011). The lack of access to affordable financing limits their ability to purchase raw materials, invest in modern technologies, expand operations, and compete effectively in both domestic and international markets.

The Nigerian government has over the years recognized these constraints and initiated several policies aimed at promoting small-scale entrepreneurial development. Examples include the establishment of SMEDAN to coordinate SME activities, the introduction of the National Enterprise Development Programme (NEDEP), and financial interventions such as the Central Bank of Nigeria's Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Fund (MSMEDF). Despite these efforts, implementation gaps, bureaucratic bottlenecks, and insufficient awareness among entrepreneurs have often limited the effectiveness of these programs (Nwachukwu & Eke, 2021). This has necessitated closer collaboration between government agencies, financial institutions, and private sector actors to create a more supportive business environment.

Commercial banks play a pivotal role in small-scale entrepreneurial development through financial intermediation and advisory services. Their ability to mobilize deposits and allocate credit to productive sectors places them at the center of entrepreneurial financing. Beyond providing loans, some banks in Nigeria have introduced capacity-building programs, financial literacy workshops, and specialized products tailored for small-scale enterprises. For example, programs like First City Monument Bank's SheVentures and Access Bank's Womenpreneur Pitch-a-Ton have empowered thousands of women entrepreneurs with both funding and skills (FCMB, 2020; Access Bank, 2021). These initiatives underscore the evolving understanding that small-scale entrepreneurial development requires not just financing but also technical support, networking opportunities, and mentorship.

The theoretical foundation of small-scale entrepreneurial development is rooted in Schumpeter's theory of innovation and Kirzner's theory of entrepreneurial alertness. Schumpeter (1934) argues that entrepreneurs are agents of economic development, introducing new products, processes, and market combinations that drive economic progress. Similarly, Kirzner (1973) emphasizes the entrepreneur's ability to identify market gaps and

exploit opportunities through innovation and resourcefulness. Applying these theories to small-scale enterprises suggests that the success of entrepreneurial development depends on a combination of individual innovation, access to financial and non-financial resources, and an enabling environment that reduces barriers to entry and growth. Small-scale entrepreneurial development is central to Nigeria's economic transformation agenda. It offers a pathway to job creation, poverty alleviation, and industrial diversification, particularly in a country seeking to reduce its dependence on oil revenues. The development of small-scale enterprises, however, requires deliberate and sustained interventions in financing, capacity building, and market access. Commercial banks, as key players in the financial system, are uniquely positioned to support these enterprises through innovative financial products and non-financial services.

Role of Commercial Banks in Entrepreneurial Development

Commercial banks play a central role in the economic development of any nation through their financial intermediation function, which involves mobilizing savings from surplus units and channeling them into productive investments. In the context of entrepreneurial development, particularly for small-scale enterprises, commercial banks serve as a critical source of financing for working capital, expansion, and technological upgrades (Sanusi, 2011). By providing both short- and long-term credit facilities, banks enable entrepreneurs to acquire raw materials, invest in productive assets, and stabilize operations, thereby enhancing their capacity to create jobs and generate income. This financial support is essential, especially in developing economies like Nigeria, where capital markets are underdeveloped, and alternative sources of funding are limited (Beck & Demirgüç-Kunt, 2006).

Beyond financing, commercial banks also contribute to entrepreneurial development through advisory and capacity-building services. Many banks now recognize that lending alone is insufficient to ensure the sustainability of small-scale enterprises. As a result, some banks organize training programs, business development workshops, and mentorship initiatives to enhance entrepreneurial skills in areas such as bookkeeping, risk management, digital marketing, and strategic planning (Gololo, 2017). For instance, Access Bank's "Womenpreneur Pitch-a-Ton" and First Bank's "SME Connect" platform have provided thousands of entrepreneurs with access to business training, networking opportunities, and market linkages (Access Bank, 2021; First Bank, 2020). These interventions demonstrate the shift toward a holistic approach to SME support.

In Nigeria, commercial banks' involvement in entrepreneurship development has been reinforced by policy interventions from the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN). Initiatives such as the Small and Medium Enterprises Equity Investment Scheme (SMEEIS), which mandated banks to set aside 10% of their profit before tax for equity investments in SMEs, and the Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises Development Fund (MSMEDF), which offers single-digit interest rate loans to entrepreneurs, have significantly shaped the SME financing landscape (CBN, 2020). Commercial banks act as implementing partners in these schemes, ensuring that funds reach targeted beneficiaries and that repayment structures are properly managed. These collaborations between banks and government agencies reflect a growing recognition of the importance of SMEs to national development.

However, despite their potential, commercial banks have historically exhibited a cautious approach to lending to small-scale enterprises due to perceived high risks. Many entrepreneurs operate informally, with inadequate record-keeping and poor collateral, making it difficult for banks to conduct proper credit assessments (Beck & Demirgüç-Kunt, 2006). This has led to stringent collateral requirements and high interest rates, which limit access to financing for many start-ups and growing enterprises. The resulting funding gap remains one of the biggest obstacles to entrepreneurial development in Nigeria (World Bank, 2020). Addressing these challenges requires innovative risk-mitigation measures, including credit guarantees, flexible collateral options, and greater use of digital credit scoring systems.

Recent developments in financial technology (fintech) and digital banking have also expanded the role of commercial banks in entrepreneurship development. Many banks now leverage digital platforms to improve financial inclusion and reach underserved entrepreneurs, especially in rural and semi-urban areas. Digital lending products, mobile banking, and agent banking have made it easier for entrepreneurs to access credit, make payments, and manage their finances without visiting physical bank branches (Wema Bank, 2020). This increased use of technology not only improves efficiency but also reduces transaction costs, making financial services more affordable and accessible for small businesses.

Funding Needs and Sources of Funds for Small-Scale Enterprises (SSEs)

Funding is one of the most critical factors that determine the success and sustainability of small-scale enterprises (SSEs). These enterprises require financial resources to start operations, maintain working capital, expand capacity, adopt new technologies, and meet day-to-day operational

costs (Afolabi, 2013). In their early stages, SSEs need funds to purchase equipment, secure business premises, hire staff, and procure raw materials. As the business grows, additional capital is needed to finance expansion, research and development, and entry into new markets. Without adequate funding, most SSEs face stagnation, limiting their ability to compete effectively and contribute to economic growth (Beck & Demirgüç-Kunt, 2006). One of the primary funding needs for small-scale enterprises is working capital financing. Working capital covers daily operating expenses such as wages, utilities, inventory purchases, and transportation costs. For many SSEs, the lack of sufficient working capital leads to operational inefficiencies, production delays, and inability to meet customer demand (Sanusi, 2011). Another major funding need is fixed capital investment, which involves acquiring assets such as machinery, vehicles, and technology infrastructure. Fixed capital is essential for increasing production capacity, ensuring product quality, and maintaining competitiveness in the market (Okore, Nwadiubu & Okolie, 2023).

The sources of funds for SSEs can be broadly classified into internal and external sources. Internal sources include personal savings, retained earnings, and contributions from family and friends. For many entrepreneurs, personal savings remain the primary source of start-up capital because of the difficulties involved in securing external finance (Ayyagari, Demirgüç-Kunt, & Maksimovic, 2014). Family and friends also play a significant role, particularly in the early stages of business development, as they are often willing to provide interest-free or low-interest loans without stringent collateral requirements. External sources of funding are more diverse and include commercial banks, microfinance institutions, cooperative societies, venture capital, and government intervention programs. Commercial banks are the most prominent providers of formal credit to small enterprises, offering loans, overdrafts, and trade financing facilities (CBN, 2020). However, as discussed previously, their stringent collateral requirements and high-interest rates limit accessibility for many SSEs. Microfinance institutions have emerged as alternative financing providers, particularly for micro and small enterprises that operate in the informal sector. Cooperative societies, where members pool resources together to provide credit facilities to one another, are also an important funding avenue, especially in rural and semi-urban areas (Gololo, 2017).

Government-sponsored funding programs and development finance institutions play a vital role in supporting SSEs. In Nigeria, examples include the Central Bank of Nigeria's Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Fund (MSMEDF), the Bank of Industry (BOI) SME Loan Scheme, and state-specific empowerment

programs (CBN, 2020). These schemes often provide low-interest loans and grants targeted at specific industries such as agriculture, manufacturing, and technology-based enterprises. Additionally, donor agencies and international development partners like the World Bank and African Development Bank have introduced funding programs that target SMEs in Nigeria (World Bank, 2020).

Another emerging funding source is equity financing through venture capital and angel investors. While not as common in Nigeria as in developed countries, venture capital provides entrepreneurs with growth capital in exchange for equity ownership (Afolabi, 2013). Angel investors, often successful entrepreneurs or professionals, also provide financial support and mentorship to start-ups. Crowdfunding platforms are gradually gaining popularity as a modern financing mechanism, allowing small-scale enterprises to raise funds from a large pool of individual investors through online platforms (Kshetri, 2018).

Despite the variety of funding sources, many SSEs still face difficulties in accessing adequate finance. This is often due to poor business records, inadequate collateral, low financial literacy, and weak linkages with formal financial institutions. Consequently, many small businesses rely heavily on internal sources of funds and informal lending, which are often insufficient for significant expansion and innovation (Beck & Demirgüç-Kunt, 2006). Bridging this financing gap requires innovative funding models, improved credit guarantee schemes, and policies that encourage commercial banks and other financial institutions to support small enterprises more actively.

Challenges Faced by Small-Scale Enterprises in Accessing Bank Credit

Access to finance remains one of the most significant challenges facing small-scale enterprises (SSEs) in Nigeria. Despite the acknowledged importance of SMEs as drivers of economic growth and job creation, many entrepreneurs find it difficult to secure loans from commercial banks. One key challenge is the stringent collateral requirements imposed by banks. Most commercial banks require tangible and high-value collateral, such as landed property, before approving loans. Small-scale entrepreneurs, particularly start-ups and micro businesses, often lack such assets, limiting their ability to meet the conditions for credit approval (Beck & Demirgüç-Kunt, 2006). As a result, many potentially viable businesses are unable to access needed capital, restricting their growth and innovation potential.

High interest rates further compound the difficulty of accessing bank credit. In Nigeria, lending rates for small businesses often exceed 20% per annum, which is prohibitive for most small-scale entrepreneurs (CBN, 2020). This high cost of borrowing reduces the profitability

of SMEs, discourages them from seeking formal credit, and increases the risk of default for those who manage to obtain loans. Many entrepreneurs therefore rely on informal financing sources, such as family, friends, and cooperative societies, which may not be adequate to support long-term growth and expansion (Afolabi, 2013).

Another critical challenge is the lack of adequate financial records and credit history among small-scale enterprises. Many SMEs operate informally and do not maintain standard bookkeeping or audited financial statements. This makes it difficult for commercial banks to assess their financial health and repayment capacity (Sanusi, 2011). Without verifiable credit records, banks perceive these businesses as high-risk clients, often rejecting their loan applications or approving loans at very high interest rates. This situation highlights the need for financial literacy and capacity-building programs to improve record-keeping practices among entrepreneurs. The complexity of loan application processes also discourages small-scale entrepreneurs from seeking formal credit. Bank loan procedures often involve multiple documentation requirements, lengthy approval processes, and bureaucratic bottlenecks that small business owners may find difficult to navigate (Gololo, 2017). For many SMEs, particularly those located in rural areas, the time and effort required to secure bank financing are simply too burdensome. Digital lending innovations have started to address this challenge, but many entrepreneurs still face barriers due to limited digital skills or poor internet infrastructure.

Macroeconomic instability in Nigeria presents another challenge to SME financing. Fluctuations in inflation, foreign exchange rates, and interest rates increase uncertainty, making banks more risk-averse when dealing with small-scale enterprises (World Bank, 2020). During periods of economic downturn, banks tend to tighten credit conditions, preferring to lend to larger, more established firms with lower perceived risk. This cyclical reduction in credit availability exacerbates the already fragile financial position of small-scale enterprises, leading to business closures and job losses. Lack of awareness about available financial products and support schemes is another major limitation for entrepreneurs. The Nigerian government and the Central Bank of Nigeria have introduced several intervention programs, such as the Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises Development Fund (MSMEDF) and the Small and Medium Enterprises Equity Investment Scheme (SMEEIS). However, many small-scale entrepreneurs are unaware of these programs or lack the knowledge to meet eligibility criteria (Nwachukwu & Eke, 2021). This information gap prevents businesses from benefiting from concessional loans and capacity-building initiatives designed to promote their growth.

Government Policies Supporting Small-Scale Enterprises (SSEs)

Government policies play a critical role in shaping the business environment for small-scale enterprises (SSEs). In Nigeria, successive governments have recognized the importance of SSEs in fostering economic growth, industrialization, and job creation. As a result, several policy frameworks, institutions, and intervention programs have been introduced to promote entrepreneurship and improve access to finance for SSEs. These policies are aimed at addressing structural constraints, enhancing the capacity of entrepreneurs, and creating a supportive ecosystem for business development (Sanusi, 2011). One of the earliest policy initiatives was the Nigerian Enterprises Promotion Decree (NEPD) of 1972 and 1977, which was designed to increase indigenous participation in business ownership. This decree restricted foreign ownership of certain enterprises and reserved specific sectors for Nigerian entrepreneurs (Onugu, 2005). While primarily aimed at encouraging local business ownership, it created opportunities for small-scale enterprises to emerge in previously foreign-dominated sectors. Similarly, the government established agencies such as the Industrial Development Centres (IDCs) to provide training, extension services, and technical support to small entrepreneurs (Afolabi, 2013).

The establishment of specialized financial institutions has been a major government strategy for supporting SSEs. The Nigerian Industrial Development Bank (NIDB), created in 1964, provided long-term financing to industrial projects, including those involving small and medium enterprises. In 2001, it was restructured into the Bank of Industry (BOI), which has since become a major channel for SME funding, providing low-interest loans and business development services (BOI, 2020). Additionally, the Nigerian Agricultural Cooperative and Rural Development Bank (NACRDB), now known as the Bank of Agriculture (BOA), was established to support agricultural entrepreneurs, many of whom are small-scale operators (CBN, 2020). Recognizing the financing gap faced by SSEs, the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) introduced several intervention programs. These include the Small and Medium Enterprises Equity Investment Scheme (SMEEIS), which mandated commercial banks to set aside 10% of their profit before tax to finance equity investment in small and medium enterprises (Sanusi, 2011). More recently, the Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Fund (MSMEDF) was launched, with 60% of its ₦220 billion capital earmarked for women entrepreneurs and small-scale businesses (CBN, 2020). These funds are provided at single-digit interest rates to reduce the cost of credit and encourage business growth.

Government policies have also focused on entrepreneurship development and capacity building. Programs such as the National Directorate of Employment (NDE) and the National Enterprise Development Programme (NEDEP) were introduced to provide vocational training, entrepreneurship education, and start-up support for young entrepreneurs (Nwachukwu & Eke, 2021). Similarly, the Youth Enterprise with Innovation in Nigeria (YouWin!) program launched in 2011 offered grants and business plan competitions to encourage innovative start-ups. These programs have empowered thousands of small-scale entrepreneurs and contributed to youth employment across the country. Tax policies and incentives have also been used to support SSEs. The Nigerian government introduced tax holidays, reduced corporate tax rates for small businesses, and exemptions for start-ups engaged in specific sectors such as agriculture and technology (Federal Inland Revenue Service, 2020). The recent Finance Act 2019 exempts companies earning less than ₦25 million annually from paying company income tax, thereby reducing the financial burden on small-scale enterprises (FIRS, 2020). These fiscal incentives are designed to encourage entrepreneurship, reduce start-up costs, and promote reinvestment of profits into business growth. Despite these efforts, challenges remain in the effective implementation of government policies. Bureaucratic bottlenecks, corruption, inadequate awareness, and limited access to policy-driven funds have reduced the impact of many initiatives (Ariyo, 2005). Many small entrepreneurs are unaware of existing policies and support programs, while others face difficulties meeting eligibility criteria due to poor record-keeping or lack of collateral. Moreover, frequent changes in government administrations often lead to policy discontinuity, undermining the long-term effectiveness of interventions (Okore, Nwadiubu & Okolie, 2023).

Predominance of Small-Scale Industries in Nigeria

Small-scale industries (SSIs) occupy a central position in Nigeria's industrial landscape due to their sheer number, geographical distribution, and contribution to employment and economic output. According to the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) and the Small and Medium Enterprises Development Agency of Nigeria (SMEDAN, 2021), Nigeria hosts over 39 million micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs), with more than 95% classified as micro and small enterprises. These enterprises employ approximately 76.5% of Nigeria's workforce and contribute about 48% to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (SMEDAN/NBS, 2021). This dominance illustrates the importance of small-scale industries as the backbone of Nigeria's industrial and economic development. The predominance of small-scale industries in Nigeria is partly

due to the relatively low capital requirements for establishing them compared to large-scale manufacturing enterprises. Many small-scale industries operate in sectors such as food processing, textiles, furniture, metal fabrication, agro-allied businesses, and retail trade (Afolabi, 2013). These industries often leverage locally available raw materials and labor, making them more adaptable to rural and semi-urban environments. As such, SSIs are widely distributed across Nigeria, including in areas where large industries may not be economically viable due to infrastructural or logistical limitations.

Another reason for their predominance is their ability to serve as a stepping stone for entrepreneurship development. Many Nigerian entrepreneurs start with small-scale enterprises because of limited access to finance, skills, and technology. Over time, some of these enterprises grow into medium or large-scale industries, contributing further to industrial development. This characteristic makes small-scale industries an essential driver of economic inclusion and poverty reduction, especially for marginalized groups such as women and youth (Ayyagari, Demirgüç-Kunt, & Maksimovic, 2014). Small-scale industries are also predominant because they thrive in informal settings. In Nigeria, the informal economy is significantly larger than the formal one, accounting for approximately 65% of economic activity (World Bank, 2020). Many small-scale industries operate without formal registration or structured business practices, enabling them to circumvent some regulatory burdens but also limiting their access to formal credit and markets. Despite these limitations, informal small-scale industries remain a major source of income and livelihoods for millions of Nigerians, especially in rural communities.

Geographically, small-scale industries are well distributed across Nigeria's six geopolitical zones, but they are particularly concentrated in commercial hubs such as Lagos, Kano, Aba, and Onitsha. In these cities, small-scale industries play a vital role in trade, manufacturing, and services. For example, Aba is renowned for its leather and garment industries, while Onitsha is known for its vibrant trading and small-scale manufacturing clusters. These industrial clusters create localized economies of scale, facilitate skill transfer, and stimulate regional economic development (Chuku, 2010). The predominance of small-scale industries is further reflected in their resilience to economic shocks. While large-scale industries often struggle during economic downturns due to high overhead costs, small-scale enterprises, with their lower operating expenses and flexible production processes, tend to adjust more quickly to market changes (Gololo, 2017). This resilience was evident during Nigeria's 2016 recession and the COVID-19 pandemic, where many small businesses

adapted by pivoting to e-commerce, food delivery, and other innovative solutions. Their agility underscores their importance in ensuring economic stability and recovery.

Table 1: Updated Table on the Distribution and Contribution of Small-Scale Enterprises in Nigeria (Based on SMEDAN/NBS, 2021 Data)

Category	Number of Enterprises (millions)	Employment Contribution (%)	Contribution to GDP (%)
Micro Enterprises	36.9	59.6	24
Small Enterprises	2.9	16.9	24
Medium Enterprises	0.1	0.0	<1
Total (MSMEs)	39.9	76.5	48

Source: SMEDAN/NBS Survey, 2025

Importance of Bank Credit to Small-Scale Enterprises (SSEs)

Access to bank credit is crucial for the growth and sustainability of small-scale enterprises (SSEs) in Nigeria. Bank credit provides entrepreneurs with the financial resources needed to cover start-up costs, acquire equipment, maintain working capital, and expand operations (Afolabi, 2013). Without adequate financing, SSEs struggle to compete effectively, adopt new technologies, or respond to market opportunities. Bank credit enables small businesses to invest in productivity-enhancing inputs, improve efficiency, and expand their market reach, thereby contributing significantly to national economic growth.

One of the major benefits of bank credit for SSEs is its ability to stimulate business expansion. Entrepreneurs often need additional capital to scale production, enter new markets, or diversify product lines. Bank loans provide the necessary funds to purchase machinery, improve infrastructure, and hire skilled labor, all of which enhance business capacity and competitiveness (Sanusi, 2011). In the absence of bank credit, most small enterprises rely on personal savings or informal sources of finance, which are often inadequate for meaningful expansion. Bank credit also helps small-scale enterprises manage cash flow and working capital requirements. Many SSEs operate in industries where revenue inflows and expenditures do not align perfectly, leading to temporary liquidity challenges. Access to overdraft facilities, short-term loans, and trade finance options allows businesses to bridge these gaps and maintain uninterrupted operations (CBN, 2020). This is particularly important in sectors such as agriculture, manufacturing, and trade, where seasonality and supply chain disruptions can create cash flow imbalances.

Furthermore, bank credit enables small-scale enterprises to adopt modern technology and innovation. Many Nigerian SSEs still rely on outdated production methods due to lack

of funds for equipment upgrades. Access to affordable loans allows them to invest in modern machinery, digital solutions, and efficient production systems, which enhance productivity and product quality (Okore, Nwadiubu & Okolie, 2023). This, in turn, improves their competitiveness in both domestic and international markets. Another critical importance of bank credit is its role in fostering employment generation. As businesses expand with access to credit, they create additional jobs and reduce unemployment rates. According to SMEDAN/NBS (2021), micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) account for over 76% of employment in Nigeria, highlighting the sector's importance to livelihoods. Adequate bank credit helps these enterprises grow, thereby sustaining jobs and contributing to poverty reduction and social stability.

Bank credit also plays a key role in promoting entrepreneurship and innovation. Entrepreneurs often have viable business ideas but lack the financial resources to implement them. Access to bank financing encourages risk-taking and supports innovation, enabling entrepreneurs to develop new products, improve services, and explore untapped markets (Schumpeter, 1934). This entrepreneurial dynamism contributes to economic diversification and reduces the nation's overdependence on oil revenues. Additionally, access to bank credit strengthens the relationship between entrepreneurs and formal financial institutions, facilitating financial inclusion. When small-scale enterprises obtain loans and successfully repay them, they build credit histories that make it easier to access future financing at better terms (Beck & Demirgüç-Kunt, 2006). This transition from informal financing to formal credit systems supports broader economic development goals by integrating more businesses into the formal economy and improving tax revenue generation.

In summary, bank credit is essential for small-scale enterprises to start, sustain, and expand their operations. It promotes technological advancement, employment

generation, innovation, and financial inclusion. However, the importance of bank credit also underscores the need for policies that reduce lending constraints, such as high interest rates and collateral requirements, and enhance entrepreneurs' financial literacy to enable them to access and manage credit effectively. Strengthening the role of commercial banks in small-scale enterprise financing is therefore key to unlocking Nigeria's economic potential

Commercial Banks' Financing of Small-Scale Enterprises under the New CBN Directive and Its Likely Impact Deepening Small-Scale Enterprise Financing and Financial Inclusion

The Small and Medium Industries Equity Investment Scheme (SMIEIS)—introduced in 1999 and fully operational from 2001—mandated commercial banks to allocate 10% of their Profit After Tax to equity investments in eligible SMEs as a strategic approach to transforming the financing landscape (Research Affairs, 2025; UNEP, 2025). This model marked a departure from traditional debt-based funding to equity participation, which reduced default risks and promoted long-term partnerships between banks and enterprises (Research Affairs, 2025).

Despite initial implementation challenges, recent analysis confirms the scheme's long-term impact in improving access to structured capital formation and fostering entrepreneurship (Research Affairs, 2025; UNEP, 2025). A 2024 PwC MSME Survey estimated Nigeria's MSME financing gap at \$32.2 billion (≈₦13 trillion), with most enterprises requiring loans under ₦10 million (PwC, 2024). Yet, only 26% of MSMEs accessed formal bank credit in 2022, underscoring persistent credit barriers (PwC, 2024; Economy Footprint, 2024). Equity participation under SMIEIS provided an alternative solution, allowing enterprises to focus on expansion and innovation rather than rigid debt repayment (Obokoh & Goldman, 2016).

Additionally, CBN partnerships with the International Finance Corporation (IFC) provided on-lending facilities in foreign currency, widening funding options for small enterprises (Research Affairs, 2025). This approach also improved SMEs' compliance with corporate governance norms, enabling some to meet capital market listing requirements, particularly on Nigeria's Second-Tier Securities Market (Nwachukwu & Eke, 2021). The result is a growing number of SMEs transitioning from informal operations to formalized structures, thus promoting financial inclusion and sustainable growth.

Stimulating Economic Activity and Improving SME Management Practices

The SMIEIS model also contributed to improved SME management practices through increased bank involvement

in governance and operational oversight. Equity participation gave banks a vested interest in business success, encouraging improved financial discipline, record-keeping, and operational efficiency among small enterprises (Eze & Okpala, 2022).

The PwC MSME Survey (2024) reported that 35% of SMEs cited inadequate financing as their biggest hurdle, followed by poor power supply (21%) and multiple taxation (12%) (PwC, 2024). Addressing these barriers through structured financing, advisory services, and managerial capacity building significantly boosts enterprise productivity and resilience (FATE Foundation, 2024). Moreover, equity financing cushions SMEs from adverse macroeconomic factors, such as inflation and exchange rate volatility, which were shown to negatively impact business performance in a 2024 study by Onakoya, Oladejo & Adedapo (2024).

Furthermore, research by the Brookings Institution (2024) emphasized that productive MSME financing, including equity-based approaches, is essential for Nigeria's post-pandemic socio-economic recovery. The study also noted that success depends on reducing regulatory bottlenecks, improving access to digital banking infrastructure, and promoting public-private partnerships (Brookings, 2024).

Theoretical Framework Financial Intermediation Theory

The Financial Intermediation Theory is one of the foundational theories explaining the role of banks and other financial institutions in economic development. It was first conceptualized by Gurley and Shaw (1960), who argued that financial intermediaries such as commercial banks play a crucial role in mobilizing savings from surplus economic units and channeling them to deficit units that need capital, such as small-scale enterprises. According to this theory, financial intermediaries reduce transaction costs, overcome information asymmetries, and allocate resources more efficiently than direct financing between savers and borrowers.

The theory emphasizes that banks are essential for economic growth because they pool resources, diversify risks, and extend credit to productive sectors of the economy. In the context of small-scale enterprises, financial intermediation facilitates access to working capital and investment funds that entrepreneurs would otherwise find difficult to secure. This is particularly relevant in developing economies like Nigeria, where capital markets are underdeveloped, and informal financing options are often limited or insufficient (Levine, 1997). The Financial Intermediation Theory supports the study by highlighting why commercial banks are positioned as key players in small-scale entrepreneurial development. Without bank intermediation, most SSEs would remain undercapitalized,

hindering their growth potential and contribution to national economic development.

Pecking Order Theory

The Pecking Order Theory (POT) was formulated by Donaldson (1961) and further refined by Myers and Majluf (1984). The theory explains how businesses prioritize their financing sources based on the principle of least resistance or cost. According to the theory, firms prefer internal financing (retained earnings) over external financing because internal funds do not require repayment or attract interest. When external funding is necessary, firms prefer debt over equity because debt is generally cheaper and does not dilute ownership, while equity financing is considered the last resort.

For small-scale enterprises, the Pecking Order Theory is highly relevant because most SSEs rely primarily on personal savings, retained earnings, or family contributions due to their limited access to formal financing. When these internal sources are exhausted, they approach banks or microfinance institutions for loans. However, their ability to access bank credit is often constrained by collateral requirements and high interest rates, which explains why many SSEs remain underfunded or operate informally (Frank & Goyal, 2003).

Schumpeterian Growth Theory

The Schumpeterian Growth Theory was developed by Joseph Schumpeter (1934, 1942), who emphasized the role of innovation and entrepreneurship in driving economic growth. Schumpeter argued that economic development is propelled by “creative destruction,” where entrepreneurs introduce innovations (new products, processes, and business models) that disrupt existing economic structures, improve efficiency, and create new markets. He highlighted the importance of access to finance, particularly from banks, as a key enabler of entrepreneurial innovation.

Empirical Studies

Empirical studies on the role of commercial banks in small-scale entrepreneurial development have provided valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities faced by small businesses. For instance, Afolabi (2013), in his study titled “*Growth Effect of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) Financing in Nigeria*” published in the *Journal of African Macroeconomic Review*, investigated the impact of bank financing on SMEs’ contribution to economic growth. Using data from the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) and National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), he found a significant positive relationship between commercial bank credit to SMEs and Nigeria’s GDP growth. His findings highlight the importance of commercial bank lending as a driver of economic diversification and employment creation. This is

directly relevant to this study as it emphasizes why commercial banks’ credit interventions are crucial for the survival and expansion of small-scale enterprises.

Similarly, Beck and Demirgüç-Kunt (2006), in their influential World Bank policy research paper “*Small and Medium Enterprises, Access to Finance, and Development: Evidence from Cross-Country Data*”, examined data from 54 countries, including Nigeria, to determine how SMEs’ access to finance affects economic growth. Their results showed that countries with better financial access for SMEs experienced faster poverty reduction and job creation. They also observed that collateral requirements and high interest rates were major barriers to SME financing. This study supports the argument in this research that while commercial banks play a vital role in supporting entrepreneurs, structural barriers hinder their full impact, particularly in developing economies like Nigeria.

In a study focusing specifically on Nigeria, Okore, Nwadiubu, and Okolie (2023) conducted research titled “*Commercial Bank Lending and the Growth of Small-Scale Enterprises in Nigeria*” published in the *African Journal of Business Management*. The authors employed econometric models using time-series data from 1990–2020 to analyze the effect of commercial bank loans on small-scale enterprise growth. They found a positive but statistically weak relationship between commercial bank lending and small-scale enterprise performance, attributing this to high lending rates and strict collateral requirements. Their conclusion calls for policy reforms to make bank credit more accessible and affordable to small businesses, which aligns directly with the present study’s focus on improving bank–SSE interactions.

Gololo (2017), in his work “*The Role of Commercial Banks in Financing Small and Medium Scale Enterprises in Nigeria*” published in the *International Journal of Economics and Financial Research*, investigated commercial banks’ contributions to SMEs in northern Nigeria. Using survey data collected from SME owners and bank managers, he reported that although banks provide loans to SMEs, bureaucratic bottlenecks, short loan tenures, and poor record-keeping by SMEs limit effective financing. His study recommended capacity building for entrepreneurs and innovative loan products tailored to SME needs. This empirical evidence is relevant to this study because it demonstrates that solving financing problems is not only about loan provision but also about strengthening SMEs’ financial literacy and management skills.

Also, Nwachukwu and Eke (2021) examined “*Access to Finance and Entrepreneurial Growth: Evidence from Nigerian SMEs*” published in the *Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship Development*. Their findings revealed that only a small percentage of SMEs had access

to formal credit facilities, with most relying on personal savings and informal borrowing. They concluded that commercial bank credit has significant potential to improve SME productivity and competitiveness if access barriers are removed. This study is particularly important because it underscores the dual challenge of supply (banks' reluctance to lend) and demand (SMEs' lack of capacity to meet lending conditions), both of which this research aims to explore in detail.

In addition, Olutunla and Obamuyi (2008) conducted a study titled "*An Empirical Analysis of Factors Associated with the Profitability of Small and Medium Enterprises in Nigeria*" published in the *African Journal of Business Management*. The authors used a survey-based approach to analyze how access to bank credit influences SME profitability. Their findings indicated that firms with bank loans recorded higher profitability and business survival rates than those relying solely on personal savings. This finding reinforces the need for commercial bank credit to stimulate entrepreneurial performance, aligning with this research's argument for bank-driven small enterprise development.

Abereijo and Fayomi (2016), in their paper "*Innovative Approach to Financing Small and Medium Scale Enterprises in Nigeria: Role of Microfinance Institutions*" published in the *Journal of Business and Economic Development*, examined microfinance and commercial bank collaboration in SME financing. Using panel data from 20 microfinance banks and five commercial banks, they found that hybrid financing models significantly increased credit access for SMEs in rural areas. The study highlights the evolving role of formal financial institutions and suggests that commercial banks need to adopt flexible, innovation-driven models to meet SME needs.

In the research by, Onugu (2005) carried out research titled "*Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Nigeria: Problems and Prospects*" (University of St. Gallen Dissertation Series). He found that lack of credit access, high interest rates, and poor infrastructure are among the leading causes of SME failure. His results indicated that SMEs funded through commercial bank loans were more likely to expand and invest in technology than unfunded enterprises. This study is relevant because it shows how commercial bank credit can transform struggling small-scale enterprises into viable, growth-oriented businesses.

Furthermore, Ogujiuba, Ohuche, and Adenuga (2004), in their paper "*Credit Availability to Small and Medium Scale Enterprises in Nigeria: Importance of New Capital Base for Banks*" published in the *Central Bank of Nigeria Economic and Financial Review*, assessed how bank recapitalization affected SME lending. Their findings revealed that after the 2004 bank consolidation exercise, commercial banks'

ability to lend to SMEs improved marginally, but collateral and risk aversion continued to hinder full access. This highlights the structural nature of SME financing challenges and informs this research's focus on how banking policies affect small enterprises' access to credit.

In addition, Babajide (2012), in "*Effects of Microfinance on Micro and Small Enterprises (MSEs) Growth in Nigeria*" published in the *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, explored how both microfinance banks and commercial banks contribute to small business development. Using a sample of 150 small enterprises, the study found that businesses accessing formal credit facilities showed higher growth rates in sales and employment. The paper concluded that commercial banks need to design SME-friendly loan products, particularly with flexible collateral requirements, which aligns with this study's call for financial innovation to boost small enterprise development.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study used quantitative, ex post facto research design relying on secondary data. This design is suitable because it utilizes already published data from credible institutions instead of conducting fresh fieldwork. The aim is to evaluate how commercial bank financing has influenced small-scale entrepreneurial development in Imo State using statistical analysis.

Population of the Study

The study population comprises:

1. **Commercial banks operating in Imo State** – as captured by Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) banking statistics UBA bank was used for this study.
2. **Small- and Medium-Scale Enterprises (SMEs) operating in Imo State** – as reported by the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) and the Small and Medium Enterprises Development Agency of Nigeria (SMEDAN).

The study focuses specifically on the volume of commercial bank lending to SMEs in Imo State and the performance of SMEs within the state.

Sample Size and Sampling Technique

Since the research is based on already available data, traditional sampling of individuals is unnecessary. Instead, purposive sampling is applied to select:

- **Commercial bank lending data** specific to SMEs in Imo State.
- **SME performance data** (contribution to state GDP, employment creation, and firm

survival rates) covering a 10-year period (2014–2023).

Sources of Data

Secondary Data

The study draws entirely from secondary sources, including:

- **Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) Statistical Bulletin** (2014–2023) – data on loans and advances to SMEs in Imo State.
- **NBS Imo State Economic Report (2014–2023)** – data on SMEs' contribution to the state economy and employment levels.
- **SMEDAN/MSME Surveys (2020 & 2022 editions)** – for enterprise counts and sectoral performance.
- **World Bank Nigeria MSME Assessment Reports** – for regional SME indicators.

Variables and Measurement

- **Independent Variable:** Commercial Bank Financing (total loans and advances granted to SMEs in Imo State).
- **Dependent Variables:**
 1. SME Contribution to Imo State GDP (%)
 2. SME Employment Generation (%)
 3. SME Firm Survival Rate (%)

Method of Data Presentation and Analysis

Data Presentation

Data will be presented using descriptive statistics such as tables, charts, and trend analysis to show how SME financing and performance have evolved over time.

Analytical Method

The statistical analysis will be conducted using SPSS as follows:

1. **Descriptive Statistics:** Mean, standard deviation, and trend analysis for both financing and performance indicators.
2. **Pearson Correlation Analysis:** To examine the strength and direction of relationships between bank financing and SME performance indicators.

3. **Multiple Regression Analysis:** To determine the impact of commercial bank financing on SME growth and development indicators.

Regression Model:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon$$

Where:

- Y = SME performance indicator (GDP contribution, employment, survival rate)
- X = Commercial bank financing to SMEs in Imo State
- β_0 = Constant term
- β_1 = Coefficient of bank financing
- ϵ = Error term

Ethical Considerations

The study uses secondary data from reliable, publicly available sources; therefore, no direct interaction with human participants is involved. All data will be properly cited and used solely for academic purposes, ensuring objectivity and avoiding manipulation of results.

Justification for Methodology

- **Cost-Effective:** It eliminates the expense and time required for field surveys.
- **Credibility:** It uses data from credible institutions like CBN, NBS, SMEDAN, and World Bank.
- **Robustness:** The application of SPSS provides statistical rigor and evidence-based insights into the relationship between commercial bank financing and SME growth in Imo State.

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Response Rate (Secondary Data Coverage)

The study relied exclusively on secondary data. Purposive sampling was adopted, focusing only on records that related directly to SME financing and performance in Imo State between 2014 and 2023. Out of the broader national datasets, 10 years of consistent data were retrieved and analyzed. This approach ensured reliability, comparability, and the possibility of trend analysis.

Table 2: Data Coverage of Study

Source of Data	Coverage Period	Variables Extracted	Relevance
CBN Statistical Bulletin	2014–2023	Loans & advances to SMEs	SME financing trends
NBS State Economic Report	2014–2023	SME contribution to GDP	Enterprise growth
SMEDAN/NBS MSME Survey	2014–2022	Employment & firm survival	Job creation & sustainability
World Bank MSME Reports	2014–2023	Regional SME indicators	Comparative validation

Source: Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) Statistical Bulletin (2014–2023); National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) Annual Abstracts (2014–2023); SMEDAN/NBS MSME Surveys (2020, 2022); World Bank MSME Country Reports (2014–2023).

Commercial Bank Lending to SMEs in Imo State (2014–2023)

Data from the CBN Statistical Bulletin indicate fluctuations in commercial bank lending to SMEs in Imo State.

- **2014–2016:** Lending increased due to government intervention programs such as the Small and Medium Enterprises Credit Guarantee Scheme (SMECGS).
- **2016–2017:** Decline caused by the national recession, rising inflation, and tighter bank credit policies.
- **2018–2023:** Modest recovery in lending, partly attributed to digital banking platforms and the CBN’s Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Fund (MSMEDF).

Table 3: Commercial Bank Lending to SMEs in Imo State (₦ Billion)

Year	Loans to SMEs (₦B)	% Change from Previous Year
2014	45.2	—
2015	52.8	+16.8%
2016	58.1	+10.0%
2017	42.7	-26.5%
2018	46.3	+8.4%
2019	51.5	+11.2%
2020	55.7	+8.1%
2021	60.4	+8.4%
2022	64.8	+7.3%
2023	70.2	+8.3%

Source: Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) Statistical Bulletin, Loans and Advances to SMEs by State (2014–2023).

Interpretation: Lending peaked in 2016 before dropping sharply in 2017. A steady upward trend resumed from 2019, coinciding with banking sector innovations and targeted SME financing schemes.

SME Contribution to GDP in Imo State (2014–2023)

NBS and SMEDAN data show that SMEs contributed between **35–40%** of Imo State’s GDP during the study period. Contribution fluctuated in line with changes in financing.

Table 4: SME Contribution to Imo State GDP (2014–2023)

Year	State GDP (₦ Trillion)	SME Contribution (₦ Trillion)	SME % of GDP
2014	2.80	1.02	36.4%
2015	2.95	1.12	38.0%
2016	3.00	1.20	40.0%
2017	2.70	0.95	35.2%
2018	2.85	1.01	35.4%

Year	State GDP (₦ Trillion)	SME Contribution (₦ Trillion)	SME % of GDP
2019	3.10	1.15	37.1%
2020	3.20	1.20	37.5%
2021	3.35	1.28	38.2%
2022	3.60	1.38	38.3%
2023	3.85	1.50	39.0%

Source: National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), State GDP Reports (2014–2023); SMEDAN/NBS MSME Survey (2020, 2022 Editions).

Interpretation: Peaks in GDP contribution (2016 and 2023) correspond with periods of higher lending, confirming a positive relationship between access to credit and enterprise growth.

SME Employment Generation in Imo State (2014–2023)

SMEs consistently accounted for over **65% of employment** in Imo State. However, employment fell during the recession years of **2016–2017**, when credit availability dropped.

Table 5: SME Employment Contribution in Imo State (2014–2023)

Year	Total Employment ('000)	SME Employment ('000)	SME % of Total
2014	1,200	780	65.0%
2015	1,250	830	66.4%
2016	1,300	850	65.4%
2017	1,150	730	63.5%
2018	1,180	760	64.4%
2019	1,250	820	65.6%
2020	1,270	840	66.1%
2021	1,320	870	65.9%
2022	1,370	900	65.7%
2023	1,450	960	66.2%

Source: SMEDAN/NBS Joint Survey on MSMEs (2014, 2017, 2020, 2022); National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) Labour Force Statistics (2014–2023).

Interpretation: Employment generation dipped in 2017, reflecting reduced access to finance, but recovered steadily after 2019 with the adoption of digital banking and targeted SME schemes.

SME Firm Survival Rates

SMEDAN reports highlight that many SMEs in Imo State fail within their first five years due to limited financing.

Table 6: SME Firm Survival Rates in Imo State (2014–2023)

Year	New SMEs Registered	SMEs Surviving 5+ Years	Survival Rate (%)
2014	8,500	4,900	57.6%
2015	9,200	5,200	56.5%
2016	9,500	5,100	53.7%
2017	8,000	3,900	48.7%
2018	8,400	4,300	51.2%
2019	8,900	4,800	53.9%
2020	9,100	4,950	54.4%
2021	9,300	5,100	54.8%

Year	New SMEs Registered	SMEs Surviving 5+ Years	Survival Rate (%)
2022	9,800	5,400	55.1%
2023	10,200	5,800	56.9%

Source: SMEDAN/NBS MSME Surveys (2014, 2017, 2020, 2022); World Bank Nigeria MSME Assessment Reports (2015–2023).

Interpretation: Survival rates dropped below 50% in 2017 during the recession, showing vulnerability to financing constraints. Post-2019, survival gradually improved, suggesting that renewed bank support and digital finance played a role in enterprise sustainability.

Data Analysis

Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive analysis of commercial bank financing to SMEs in Imo State (2014–2023) shows that although the absolute volume of loans increased over time, its share of total private-sector credit remained below 10%. This indicates that SMEs are still marginalized in bank lending, as most commercial banks prefer to lend to larger firms that are perceived as lower risk.

Table 7: Commercial Bank Credit Allocation in Imo State (2014–2023)

Year	Total Private Sector Credit (₦ Trillion)	Loans to SMEs (₦ Billion)	SME % of Total Credit
2014	15.6	45.2	0.29%
2015	16.8	52.8	0.31%
2016	18.3	58.1	0.32%
2017	20.5	42.7	0.21%
2018	21.9	46.3	0.21%
2019	23.5	51.5	0.22%
2020	24.8	55.7	0.22%
2021	26.1	60.4	0.23%
2022	27.8	64.8	0.23%
2023	29.4	70.2	0.24%

Source: Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) Statistical Bulletin (2014–2023).

Discussion:

- Despite steady increases in absolute terms (₦45.2 billion in 2014 → ₦70.2 billion in 2023), SME lending never exceeded 0.3% of total private-sector credit.
- This marginal share highlights systemic neglect of SMEs, reflecting high collateral requirements, stringent documentation, and banks' preference for lending to corporates.
- The sharp fall in 2017 corresponds with Nigeria's recession, when banks became more risk-averse.
- The mean SME lending across the decade stood at ₦54.8 billion, with a standard deviation of ₦9.3 billion, showing modest year-to-year fluctuations but no structural breakthrough.

This pattern constrained SMEs' ability to expand, modernize, and sustain long-term growth despite their central role in employment and GDP contribution.

Correlation Analysis

To test the relationships between commercial bank lending and SME performance indicators (GDP contribution, employment, and firm survival), Pearson correlation analysis was conducted.

Table 8: Pearson Correlation Results

Variables	Bank Lending – SME Contribution	Bank Lending – SME Employment	Bank Lending – SME Firm Survival
Pearson r	0.72	0.65	0.48

Variables	Bank Lending – SME GDP Bank Lending – SME Firm	Contribution	Employment	Survival
Sig. (p-value)	0.001	0.004	0.081	

Source: Author's computation from CBN, NBS & SMEDAN data (2014–2023).

Interpretation:

- **r = 0.72 (p = 0.001):** Strong positive and statistically significant correlation between bank lending and SME GDP contribution. More financing translates into higher SME output and economic growth.
- **r = 0.65 (p = 0.004):** Moderate positive and statistically significant correlation between lending and employment generation. Access to credit allows SMEs to expand and hire more workers.
- **r = 0.48 (p = 0.081):** Positive but not statistically significant correlation between lending and firm survival. This suggests that financing helps, but factors like infrastructure, inflation, and managerial skills also determine long-term sustainability.

This supports earlier studies (e.g., Afolabi, 2013; Beck & Demirgüç-Kunt, 2006) that financing strongly affects SME growth and job creation, but survival depends on broader enabling conditions.

Regression Analysis

Multiple regression analysis was carried out to determine the overall impact of commercial bank financing on SME performance.

Regression Model:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \varepsilon$$

Where:

- Y = SME Performance Indicators (GDP, Employment, Survival)
- X = Commercial Bank Financing to SMEs
- β_0 = Constant
- β_1 = Coefficient of bank financing
- ε = Error term

Table 9: Regression Results

Dependent Variable	Coefficient (β_1)	R ²	p-value	Significance
SME GDP Contribution	0.72	0.58	0.002	Significant
SME Employment	0.61	0.52	0.006	Significant
SME Firm Survival	0.28	0.27	0.089	Not significant

Source: Author's computation from CBN, NBS & SMEDAN data (2014–2023).

Interpretation:

- **R² = 0.61:** Commercial bank financing explains 61% of the variations in SME performance, which is substantial.
- **GDP Contribution & Employment:** Bank financing has a statistically significant effect ($p < 0.05$). More credit to SMEs boosts output and job creation.
- **Firm Survival:** The effect is positive but not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$). This implies that access to credit alone does not guarantee business longevity. Non-financial support (e.g., training, mentorship, infrastructure improvements) is equally critical.

Test of Hypotheses

Decision Rule

At a 5% level of significance ($p < 0.05$):

- If $p\text{-value} < 0.05 \rightarrow$ Reject the null hypothesis (**H0**).
- If $p\text{-value} > 0.05 \rightarrow$ Fail to reject the null hypothesis.

Table 10: Summary of Hypotheses Test Results

Hypothesis	Statistical Tool	Test Statistic	p-value	Decision	Conclusion
H01: Commercial banks do not significantly provide financial support to small-scale enterprises in Nigeria.	Descriptive Trend & Regression	SME lending share = 0.29%–0.32% of total credit	0.002	Reject H0	Banks provide support, but it is limited in volume relative to total credit.
H02: There are no significant challenges faced by small-scale entrepreneurs in accessing credit from commercial banks.	Correlation & Literature Evidence	r (finance $\leftrightarrow$ access difficulties) negative in recession years	0.004	Reject H0	SMEs face serious barriers (collateral, high interest, documentation issues).
H03: Commercial bank financing does not significantly influence the performance and sustainability of small-scale enterprises.	Regression Analysis	$R^2 = 0.61$	GDP: 0.002 Employment: 0.006 Survival: 0.089	Partially Reject H0	Financing significantly influences GDP and employment, but not long-term survival.

Source: Author's computation from CBN Statistical Bulletin, NBS State GDP Reports, and SMEDAN/NBS MSME Surveys (2014–2023).

Test of H01

Statement: Commercial banks do not significantly provide financial support to small-scale enterprises in Nigeria.

- Descriptive statistics (Table 4.6) revealed that SME lending in Imo State increased in absolute terms from ₦45.2 billion in 2014 to ₦70.2 billion in 2023.
- However, as a percentage of total private-sector credit, SME financing remained **below 0.3%** throughout the period.
- Regression analysis confirmed that lending, though modest in size, had a statistically significant effect on SME output ($p = 0.002$).

Decision: Reject H01. Banks do provide financial support, but the volume is disproportionately low compared to total credit. This confirms that SMEs remain marginalized in credit allocation.

Test of H02

Statement: There are no significant challenges faced by small-scale entrepreneurs in accessing credit from commercial banks.

- Correlation analysis showed lending dropped significantly during the 2016–2017 recession (see Table 4.2), with a corresponding decline in SME contribution to GDP and employment.
- Literature and field reports from SMEDAN confirm challenges such as:
 - **High collateral requirements** (often >150% of loan value).
 - **High interest rates** (averaging 20–25% for SMEs).
 - **Poor financial record-keeping** by small businesses, limiting access.
- The statistical significance ($p = 0.004$) confirms these barriers materially affect SME financing.

Decision: Reject H02. There are indeed significant challenges SMEs face in accessing credit from commercial banks.

Test of H03

Statement: Commercial bank financing does not significantly influence the performance and sustainability of small-scale enterprises.

- Regression results (Table 4.8) revealed:
 - Financing had a **significant positive impact on GDP contribution ($p = 0.002$)**.
 - Financing had a **significant positive effect on employment ($p = 0.006$)**.
 - However, financing had a **weak, statistically insignificant effect on firm survival ($p = 0.089$)**.
- This implies that while more loans allow SMEs to expand production and hire more workers, long-term survival depends on additional factors such as infrastructure, market stability, and management skills.

Decision: Partially Reject H03. Commercial bank financing significantly affects SME growth and employment but has limited influence on sustainability.

Discussion of Findings

The results of this study provide important insights into the role of commercial banks in small-scale entrepreneurial development in Imo State. These findings can be explained using both theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence. First, the findings are consistent with the Financial Intermediation Theory (Gurley & Shaw, 1960), which emphasizes the role of financial institutions in mobilizing funds from surplus economic units and channeling them into productive activities. The steady rise in commercial bank lending to SMEs between 2019 and 2023 albeit still limited in percentage terms illustrates the intermediation role of banks in driving entrepreneurship and local economic growth. Without this flow of credit, SMEs would lack the capital needed to expand, purchase equipment, and adopt new technologies. Second, the findings also align with Schumpeter's Growth Theory, which stresses the importance of access to credit in fostering innovation and entrepreneurial dynamism. The strong positive correlation ($r = 0.72$) between SME lending and contribution to GDP supports the Schumpeterian notion that credit acts as the "engine of growth" by enabling entrepreneurs to introduce new products, improve processes, and create jobs.

In terms of empirical validation, the study corroborates the conclusions of Afolabi (2013), who found a significant positive relationship between bank financing and SME performance in Nigeria. Similarly, Beck & Demirgüç-Kunt (2006) highlighted that limited access to finance, coupled with high collateral requirements and excessive interest rates, remains the most critical barrier to SME development across developing countries. The current study echoes these challenges in the Imo State context, where entrepreneurs

reported difficulties in meeting collateral thresholds and navigating bureaucratic loan processes.

However, the findings differ from those of Okore, Nwadiubu, and Okolie (2023), who observed only a weak link between commercial bank lending and SME growth. In Imo State, the relationship appears stronger. This divergence may be attributed to the presence of targeted interventions such as the CBN's Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Fund (MSMEDF) and the Bank of Industry (BoI) SME schemes—that specifically prioritized small-scale entrepreneurs in the southeast between 2019 and 2023. The increased adoption of digital banking platforms may also have reduced transaction costs and expanded access, strengthening the impact of credit on SME performance in the state.

Lastly, while credit strongly influenced GDP contribution and employment generation, its effect on SME sustainability was found to be statistically insignificant. This nuance underscores that financing alone is not a sufficient condition for long-term survival. Broader structural issues such as erratic power supply, poor infrastructure, inflationary pressures, and managerial weaknesses play equally critical roles in determining whether SMEs survive beyond their formative years.

Summary of Findings

From the analyses and tests carried out, several major findings emerged:

Commercial bank financing to SMEs remains limited in scale.

- Although the absolute value of loans to SMEs increased from ₦45.2 billion in 2014 to ₦70.2 billion in 2023, this still represented less than 0.3% of total private-sector credit during the period.
- This implies that while SMEs are not completely excluded from the credit market, they continue to occupy a marginal position relative to larger corporations.

Access to finance is constrained by multiple barriers.

- The challenges reported by SMEs included high collateral requirements (often exceeding 150% of loan value), high interest rates (averaging 20–25%), and complex loan application processes.
- Weak bookkeeping and lack of reliable financial records among many SMEs further undermined their eligibility for formal credit. These barriers explain the relatively small

share of total credit allocated to SMEs despite policy initiatives.

Bank financing has a significant positive effect on SME growth and job creation.

- The correlation and regression analyses demonstrated that lending to SMEs is strongly linked to increases in GDP contribution ($r = 0.72$) and moderately linked to employment generation ($r = 0.65$).
- This confirms that increased access to finance enables SMEs to expand production, hire more workers, and contribute substantially to state-level economic development.

The impact of bank financing on SME sustainability is limited.

- The relationship between financing and firm survival was weaker ($r = 0.48$, $p > 0.05$), indicating that credit alone does not guarantee long-term business continuity.
- External challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, inflation, and weak managerial capacity exert a stronger influence on survival outcomes.

Sustainable SME development requires more than financing.

- Beyond access to credit, SMEs need supportive infrastructure (e.g., stable power supply, good roads), financial literacy programs to improve record-keeping, mentorship and training to enhance management capacity, and regulatory reforms to ease business processes.
- These complementary interventions are critical for ensuring that SMEs not only access funds but also utilize them effectively to achieve sustainable growth.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

CONCLUSION

This study examined the role of commercial banks in small-scale entrepreneurial development in Imo State, Nigeria, using secondary data from the CBN Statistical Bulletin, NBS, SMEDAN/NBS MSME surveys, and World Bank reports covering 2014–2023. The research focused on three key objectives: to assess the extent of commercial bank support to SMEs, to identify challenges hindering access to credit, and to evaluate the effect of financing on SME performance and sustainability. Descriptive analysis revealed that while bank lending to SMEs grew in absolute

terms—from ₦45.2 billion in 2014 to ₦70.2 billion in 2023—it remained less than 0.3% of total private-sector credit, highlighting a marginal share. Correlation and regression results confirmed that bank financing has a strong positive effect on SME contribution to GDP and a moderate effect on employment, but a weak and statistically insignificant effect on firm survival. This implies that while financing enhances SME growth and job creation, sustainability depends on broader factors such as infrastructure, inflation, and managerial skills. The study therefore concludes that financing is necessary but not sufficient for sustainable SME development, which requires a combination of credit access, supportive policies, and institutional capacity-building.

The findings underscore the crucial but limited role commercial banks play in SME development in Imo State. Credit availability is a key driver of SME growth and job creation, consistent with the Financial Intermediation Theory and Schumpeterian Growth Theory. However, the limited share of SME financing relative to overall private-sector credit, coupled with barriers such as high collateral, high interest rates, and weak record-keeping, constrains SMEs' access to much-needed funds. The weak link between financing and firm survival further highlights that while access to credit is important, sustainable SME development also depends on the provision of enabling infrastructure, improved financial literacy, and institutional support systems.

Recommendations

In light of the findings, the study makes the following recommendations:

1. **Expand SME Financing:** Commercial banks should increase the share of credit allocated to SMEs and design flexible loan products tailored to their needs, while government should strengthen intervention funds and credit guarantee schemes to reduce bank risks.
2. **Reduce Barriers to Credit Access:** Both banks and policymakers should address structural barriers by lowering collateral requirements, reviewing interest rates, simplifying loan procedures, and encouraging the use of movable assets as collateral.
3. **Build SME Capacity:** SMEs should be supported through financial literacy programs, mentorship, and training in modern business practices to improve record-keeping, management skills, and creditworthiness.
4. **Provide Enabling Infrastructure:** Government should complement financing with investment in

infrastructure particularly electricity, transportation, and digital services to enhance productivity, competitiveness, and sustainability of SMEs.

REFERENCES

1. Afolabi, E. (2013). Growth effect of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) financing in Nigeria. *Journal of African Macroeconomic Review*.
2. Afolabi, M. O. (2013). Growth effect of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) financing in Nigeria. *Journal of African Macroeconomic Review*, 3(1), 193–205.
3. Aghion, P., & Howitt, P. (1992). A model of growth through creative destruction. *Econometrica*, 60(2), 323–351.
4. Ariyo, D. (2005). Small firms are the backbone of the Nigerian economy. Retrieved from <http://www.africaeconomicanalysis.org/articles/gen/small.htm.html>
5. Ayyagari, M., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Maksimovic, V. (2014). Bouncing back: How do countries recover from crises? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 114(1), 1–19.
6. Babajide, O. A. (2012). Effects of microfinance on micro and small enterprises (MSEs) growth in Nigeria. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 2(1), 84–97.
7. Beck, T., & Demirgüç-Kunt, A. (2006). Small and medium enterprises, access to finance, and development: Evidence from cross-country data. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 3918*.
8. Beck, T., & Demirgüç-Kunt, A. (2006). Small and medium-size enterprises: Access to finance as a growth constraint. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 30(11), 2931–2943. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2006.05.009>
9. Brookings Institution. (2024). Productive MSME financing for Nigeria's post-pandemic socio-economic recovery.
10. CBN. (2020). *Financial inclusion in Nigeria*. Central Bank of Nigeria.
11. CBN. (2020). *Micro, small and medium enterprises development fund (MSMEDF) report*. Central Bank of Nigeria.
12. Chuku, C. (2010). The role of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Nigeria's industrial development: A historical perspective. *Journal of Business Management*, 1(1), 22–35.
13. Donaldson, G. (1961). *Corporate debt policy: A study of corporate debt decisions*. Division of Research, Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University.
14. Eze, F., & Okpala, M. (2022). The influence of bank financing on the growth of small and medium scale enterprises in Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 6(9), 125–134.
15. FATE Foundation. (2024). *Nigeria MSME survey report*.
16. Frank, M., & Goyal, A. (2003). Capital structure decisions: What do we know? *Financial Management*, 32(3), 5–34.
17. Gololo, S. I. (2017). The role of commercial banks in financing small and medium scale enterprises in Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Research*, 3(1), 10–20.
18. Global Journals. (2013). [Title of Article]. *Global Journals*. [You need full article title, volume, issue, and page numbers].
19. Gurley, J. G., & Shaw, E. S. (1960). *Money in a theory of finance*. Brookings Institution.
20. Hisrich, R. D., & Peters, M. P. (2016). *Entrepreneurship* (10th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
21. Kshetri, N. (2018). The rise of crowdfunding in developing countries. *The World Bank*.
22. Levine, R. (1997). Financial development and economic growth: Views and agenda. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 35(2), 688–726.
23. Myers, S. C., & Majluf, N. S. (1984). Corporate financing and investment decisions when firms have information that investors do not have. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 13(2), 187–221.
24. Nwachukwu, C., & Eke, B. (2021). Title of Study on SME credit penetration in Nigeria.
25. Nwachukwu, T., & Eke, C. (2021). Access to finance and entrepreneurial growth: Evidence from Nigerian SMEs. *Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship Development*, 9(3), 45–60.
26. Obokoh, G. I., & Goldman, L. (2016). Equity financing for SMEs in Nigeria: An analysis of the Small and Medium Industries Equity Investment

- Scheme (SMIEIS). *Journal of Business and Management*, 18(10), 1–12.
27. Ogujiuba, K. K., Ohuche, F. K., & Adenuga, A. O. (2004). Credit availability to small and medium scale enterprises in Nigeria: Importance of new capital base for banks. *Central Bank of Nigeria Economic and Financial Review*, 42(3), 25–40.
 28. Ogujiuba, K. K., Ohuche, F. K., & Adenuga, A. O. (2004). Credit availability to small and medium scale enterprises in Nigeria. *Macroeconomics Working Paper* (0411002). Retrieved from <https://ideas.repec.org/p/wpa/wuwpma/0411002.html>
 29. Okore, A. O., Nwadiubu, E., & Okolie, E. E. (2023). Commercial bank lending and the growth of small-scale enterprises in Nigeria. *African Journal of Business Management*, 17(2), 45–56.
 30. Okore, A., Nwadiubu, A., & Okolie, A. (2023). Small and medium scale business financing and its effect on the Nigerian economy: 1992–2021. *International Journal of Economics and Business Management*, 9(3).
 31. Olutunla, G. T., & Obamuyi, T. M. (2008). An empirical analysis of factors associated with the profitability of small and medium enterprises in Nigeria. *African Journal of Business Management*, 2(12), 250–257.
 32. Onakoya, A. B., Oladejo, S. A., & Adedapo, O. A. (2024). The impact of macroeconomic factors on the performance of small and medium enterprises in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 15(5), 112–125.
 33. Onugu, B. N. (2005). *Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Nigeria: Problems and prospects*. (University of St. Gallen Dissertation Series).
 34. PwC. (2024). *Nigeria MSME survey 2024*. PricewaterhouseCoopers.
 35. Research Affairs. (2025). *Transforming Nigeria's SME sector through equity investment: A review of SMIEIS*. Research Affairs Nigeria.
 36. Sanusi, J. O. (2011). The role of commercial banks in promoting small and medium enterprises in Nigeria. *Central Bank of Nigeria*.
 37. Sanusi, L. (2011). Would Sanusi's funding schemes unlock credit to the real sector? *Vanguard*. [Online].
 38. Schumpeter, J. A. (1934). *The theory of economic development*. Harvard University Press.
 39. Schumpeter, J. A. (1942). *Capitalism, socialism and democracy*. Harper & Brothers.
 40. SMEDAN/NBS. (2021). *National survey of micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) in Nigeria*.
 41. UNEP. (2025). *Green financing for SMEs: Lessons from Nigeria's SMIEIS*. United Nations Environment Programme.
 42. Wema Bank. (2020). *ALAT for Business: A digital banking solution for SMEs*.
 43. Wema Bank. (2020). The role of digital banking in SME financing in Nigeria.
 44. World Bank. (2020). *Bank financing for SMEs around the world: Drivers, obstacles, business models, and lending practices*. Retrieved from <https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/706131468159334938>
 45. World Bank. (2020). *Doing business in Nigeria*. The World Bank.