UKR Journal of Economics, Business and Management (UKRJEBM)
Homepage: https://ukrpublisher.com/ukrjebm/
Email: submit.ukrpublisher@gmail.com
Volume 1, Issue 9, 2025 **ISSN: 3049-429X (Online)**

Fuel Subsidy Removal in Nigeria: A Comparative Macroeconomic Policy Analysis

NAFISA IYA ABUBAKAR

Department of Management Sciences ANAN University Business School

***Corresponding Author**: NAFISA IYA ABUBAKAR

DOI: *https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17662644*

Article History
Original Research Article
Received: 10-11-2025
Accepted: 17-11-2025
Published: 20-11-2025

Citation: NAFISA IYA ABUBAKAR. (2025). Fuel Subsidy Removal in Nigeria: A Comparative Macroeconomic Policy Analysis. UKR Journal of Economics, Business and Management (UKRJEBM), Volume 1(9), 116-122.

Abstract

This study critically examines Nigeria's 2023 fuel subsidy removal, one of the most consequential macroeconomic changes in the nation's recent history. The study explores the reform's immediate and long-term implications on fiscal sustainability, inflationary trends, poverty levels, and inclusive growth. Drawing insights from the experiences of Ghana and Indonesia, the paper takes a comparative approach to highlight best practices and pitfalls in managing subsidy transitions across developing economies. The conceptual framework explains key terms such as fuel subsidy, fiscal sustainability, inflationary pressure, and social safety nets, creating the analytical lens through which Nigeria's policy is evaluated. Methodologically, the study draws on a review of secondary data, comparative policy analysis, and theoretical insights into fiscal management and social protection. This triangulation provides a balanced knowledge of the policy's outcomes and challenges. Findings show that while subsidy removal alleviates unsustainable fiscal burdens and creates fiscal space, the absence of strong safety nets, transparent reinvestment mechanisms, and effective macroeconomic stabilization has intensified inflation and deepened poverty in Nigeria. Comparative lessons from Ghana and Indonesia show that subsidy reforms succeed only when governments accompany them with robust social protections, credible governance structures, and visible reinvestment in public goods. The paper finds that subsidy removal in Nigeria represents both a fiscal necessity and a social dilemma. To translate fiscal savings into sustained development, the study suggests targeted cash transfers, infrastructural investments, transparent allocation of savings, and strengthened government. These measures, if implemented, can turn fiscal consolidation into inclusive growth and national development.

Keywords: *Fuel Subsidy, Nigeria, Comparative Macroeconomic, Policy Analysis*

1. Introduction

Fuel subsidies are among the most controversial macroeconomic strategies in resource-dependent nations(Audi, 2024; Muhamad, 2023). Nigeria, Africa's largest oil producer, has subsidized fuel prices since the 1970s with the declared intention of insulating citizens from the volatility of international oil prices (Balogun, 2025; Chris & Ifedi, 2024). The subsidies were originally explained as a means of assuring affordability, increasing social welfare, and protecting households from the direct effects of global energy shocks. However, over the decades, these subsidies grew fiscally unsustainable. By 2022, they were costing the Nigerian government about four trillion naira, an amount equivalent to nearly one-quarter of federal spending.

The subsidy program not only strained state finances but also altered market dynamics by encouraging excessive consumption, restricting investment in refining, and crowding out expenditure on key infrastructure, health, and education. In May 2023, the Nigerian government took the daring move of totally abolishing fuel subsidies. This change was explained as a plan for fiscal consolidation, efficient resource allocation, and long-term economic sustainability.

However, the withdrawal instantly ignited passionate national debates. While international observers applauded the decision as a step toward economic efficiency, Nigerians witnessed dramatic rises in fuel prices, travel costs, food inflation, and a general drop in real household wages. The change, then, opened up questions about how best to manage the transition and if Nigeria could benefit from the experiences of nations like as Ghana and Indonesia, both of which had previously adopted gasoline subsidy reforms with varying degrees of success.

This study analyzes Nigeria's 2023 policy in a comparative framework, raising the following questions:

1. What is the fiscal and economic consequence of subsidy withdrawal in Nigeria?
2. How effective has it been in attaining policy objectives?
3. What may be learned from Ghana and Indonesia's experiences?
4. What recommendations can improve Nigerian policy outcomes?

2. Literature Review

2.1 Conceptual Framework

Fuel subsidy elimination refers to the discontinuation of government financial support that artificially reduces the retail price of petroleum products (Orluchukwu & Lilly-Inia, 2024; Uwak et al., 2024). In Nigeria, gasoline subsidies were initially designed to shelter citizens from global oil price movements and preserve affordability of energy for individuals and companies. Over time, however, subsidies generated distortions like as overconsumption, smuggling, and huge fiscal burdens that limited resources for other critical investments. Conceptually, subsidy elimination indicates a change from government-controlled price toward market-determined pricing, with the idea that efficiency, competition, and fiscal savings will result. Yet, the method also comes with short-term shocks—higher energy costs, inflationary pressures, and welfare losses—that must be appropriately addressed.

Macroeconomic reform, given this perspective, represents broader government initiatives aimed to stabilize and strengthen the economy. (Lihong, 2024; Makdisi & Soto, 2023). Reform in this sense encompasses alterations in fiscal policy, monetary policy, and sectoral strategy that together influence inflation, employment, and growth. It demands a balance between short-term sacrifices and long-term rewards, making the management of transition just as crucial as the change itself. In Nigeria, subsidy reduction is considered as an essential reform to give budgetary freedom, minimize distortions, and stimulate private sector investment in energy and infrastructure.

Fiscal consolidation and economic efficiency are primary goals of subsidy adjustments (Mtibaa et al., 2022; Ren et al., 2024). Fiscal consolidation refers to initiatives by the government to reduce deficits and stabilize public finances, often by lowering unsustainable spending such as subsidies. By lessening the fiscal burden of subsidies, governments can reallocate resources toward development-oriented projects. Economic efficiency, on the other hand, relates to the optimal use of resources where prices reflect true market costs, encouraging rational consumption and investment. In theory, eliminating subsidies eliminates inefficient consumption of petroleum products, discourages smuggling, and incentivizes investment in refining and renewable energy. The link between fiscal consolidation and economic efficiency is crucial, as both impact whether subsidy reduction leads to sustainable growth or only temporary budgetary relief.

The welfare implications and comparative learning from other countries supply the social and political components of the framework (Gugushvili & Otto, 2023; Hemerijck et al., 2023). Welfare, in this context, captures the impact of subsidy decrease on household incomes, poverty levels, and inequality. In Nigeria, the immediate welfare loss has been severe due to inflationary shocks and the absence of effective safety nets. However, examples from Ghana and Indonesia suggest that these welfare losses can be avoided via targeted social protection, transparent reinvestment of resources, and effective communication. The comparative approach underlines that the efficiency of subsidy modifications depends not merely on fiscal reasoning but also on governance, trust-building, and inclusivity. Taken together, the conceptual framework underscores that subsidy reduction is not merely a financial adjustment but a multidimensional reform involving fiscal stability, economic efficiency, social welfare, and political legitimacy.

2.2 Theoretical Framework

Fuel subsidies are within the broader framework of macroeconomic stabilization policies (Agboje, 2022; Ogwuche et al., 2024). These measures are generally intended to balance financial sustainability, price stability, and social welfare. The economic arguments about subsidies are divided between two significant schools of thought.

The neoclassical perspective maintains that subsidies cause market distortions by artificially dropping prices, encouraging overconsumption, and leading to fiscal leakages that limit investment in productive areas (Ahmed, 2024; Nozharov, 2023). From this perspective, subsidy reduction is vital for budgetary consolidation, efficient allocation of resources, and the establishment of a more competitive market environment.

On the other hand, the Keynesian perspective emphasizes the necessity of subsidies in sustaining demand and insulating households against inflationary shocks (Osuoha, 2023; Richard). This school of thinking asserts that subsidies operate as an automatic stabilizer in times of economic volatility, especially in resource-dependent economies where oil prices considerably influence the cost of living. Removing subsidies without sufficient social cushioning can therefore increase poverty, diminish purchasing power, and promote social unrest.

Beyond these two schools of thinking, the political economy of subsidies explains why many governments preserve them despite their fiscal weight. Subsidies often continue due to vested interests, patronage networks, and the political dangers associated with removal (OKONKWO & EZENWEGBU, 2024; Yunusa et al., 2023). Reforms, therefore, require not only economic rationale but also careful sequencing, honest communication, and commensurate social reward to earn public favor.

2.2 Empirical Evidence

Several countries provide vital insights into the successes and pitfalls of subsidy reforms. Ghana: Between 2013 and 2015, Ghana cut off its gasoline subsidies to avoid fiscal constraints. The transition originally created inflation and popular unrest, but the government managed to calm the situation by introducing social programs and executing massive public awareness initiatives. Over time, the fiscal space given by the adjustment allowed the government to deploy resources into development spending.

Indonesia: In 2014, Indonesia abolished fuel subsidies, saving billions of dollars yearly. The government dispersed these monies toward health, education, and infrastructure. Citizens began to experience tangible benefits from the policy decision, which improved public acceptability. Indonesia's success highlighted the significance of visible reinvestment of subsidy savings and efficient governance structures.

Nigeria's Past Attempts: Nigeria has attempted subsidy reforms several times in the past, most notably in 2012, however these attempts generally failed (Jesuola, 2024; Sambo & Sule, 2024). Public distrust in government, coupled with inflationary surges and poor social safety nets, led to massive protests and eventually compelled the administration to recant or amend its position. The history of failed reforms demonstrates that subsidy elimination in Nigeria requires not only economic rationale but also strong governance, openness, and trustworthy compensation processes (Adebogun et al., 2024; Evans et al., 2023).

3. Methodology

This study employs a comparative policy analysis approach. It is based on secondary data from national and international sources, such as government budgeting reports, statistics from the National Bureau of Statistics, and reports from international financial organizations.

The article compares Nigeria's 2023 gasoline subsidy decrease with policy outcomes in Ghana and Indonesia. Fiscal savings, inflationary tendencies, poverty effects, public trust, and investment reactions are among the factors being assessed. By analyzing these cases, the study hopes to highlight both the promise and problems of Nigeria's existing reforms, as well as to provide major policy recommendations for the future.

4. Policy Analysis

4.1 Nigeria

The termination of subsidies in May 2023 drove fuel pump prices to rise, going from roughly 185 naira per liter to more over 500 naira.

i. Fiscal Impact: In the first six months, the government saved approximately two trillion naira, considerably lowering the budget deficit (Adewunmi, 2023; Hernandez et al., 2022). This budgetary reprieve offered opportunity to reallocate resources.

ii. Inflation: By October 2023, inflation had increased to roughly 27%, with food prices reaching 30%. The rapid price growth undermined purchasing power among households.

iii. Poverty Effects: Transportation expenses quadrupled, resulting to higher food prices. Vulnerable households were disproportionately affected, and poverty rates rose (Gayathri et al., 2024; Montalvo et al., 2024).

iv. Investment Climate: The reform strengthened confidence in the long-term investment climate, with new domestic refineries slated to launch. However, the immediate benefits were small, and uncertainties concerning regulatory frameworks persisted.

4.2 Ghana

Fuel subsidies were gradually reduced in Ghana between 2013 and 2015 (Greve & Lay, 2023; Ofori, 2023). The administration addressed public dissatisfaction with social protection programs by outlining the need for reforms, even though the initial adjustment resulted in inflationary pressures. Fiscal savings over time allowed for better investments in public services and helped maintain macroeconomic stability (Muslim et al., 2024).

4.3 Indonesia

Indonesia's 2014 reform is one of the most often cited examples of successful subsidy reduction. The government eliminated subsidies and utilized the savings to finance well-known development initiatives including constructing new roads, increasing health insurance, and starting educational initiatives (Simbasiva et al., 2023). The perceived improvements strengthened government credibility, increased public trust, and ensured the sustainability of the reform.

5. Findings and Discussion

1. Nigeria's suspension of subsidies resulted in immediate fiscal savings, validating the concept that subsidies drain national finances (Ibrahim, 2022; Percy & Gloria, 2024).
2. Inflation and Welfare: The deletion worsened inflation and lowered household earnings. Unlike Ghana and Indonesia, Nigeria lacks comprehensive social safety nets to relieve the consequences.
3. Public Trust: Past exploitation of subsidy savings has prompted widespread suspicion (Adebogun et al., 2024; Jesuola, 2024). Citizens questioned if the fiscal savings will be reinvested in ways that benefit the majority. In contrast, Indonesia earned public trust by clearly allocating resources to infrastructure and welfare programs.
4. Investment and Growth: While Nigeria's deregulation may boost private investment in refining and distribution, the current inflation situation threatens growth and social stability (Chris & Ifedi, 2024; OHONBA & Ogbeide, 2023).

6. Conclusion

Nigeria's 2023 gasoline subsidy decrease is one of the most significant macroeconomic policies in the country's recent history. It marked a significant departure from decades of entrenched fiscal policy, which, while politically popular, had become fiscally unsustainable and economically distorting. On the one hand, the reform was successful in rapidly reducing the government's excessive spending on fuel subsidies, freeing up budgetary resources that had previously drowned out investment in critical sectors such as infrastructure, health, and education. This fiscal relief is a significant step toward improving macroeconomic stability and aligning Nigeria with global best practices in resource management.

However, the short-term consequences of the transition were severe and unavoidable. The withdrawal of subsidies caused a sharp increase in fuel prices, which spread to transportation expenses, food prices, and general inflationary pressures. As a result, household welfare deteriorated, with the poor and vulnerable bearing the brunt of the burden. For many citizens, the transition resulted in deeper poverty, increased social discontent, and a growing disparity between governmental goals and actual reality. This highlights the fact that, while subsidy withdrawal may be economically reasonable, it cannot function in isolation without complementary policies to address its social and political consequences.

The divergent experiences of Ghana and Indonesia provide valuable lessons. Ghana emphasized the importance of gradual and staggered adoption, combined with targeted social efforts and strong public communication. Meanwhile, Indonesia demonstrated that subsidy cuts can gain long-term popular support when the government reinvests savings in visible, citizen-focused projects like healthcare, education, and infrastructure. Both examples demonstrate that subsidy withdrawal should not be viewed solely as a fiscal adjustment tool, but rather as part of a larger economic strategy that prioritizes equity, transparency, and inclusive growth.

For Nigeria, the path forward rests not only on maintaining the financial discipline generated by subsidy withdrawal, but also on proactively managing the transition to avoid welfare losses and build public trust. This necessitates the immediate expansion of social safety nets to protect low-income households from inflationary shocks, particularly through conditional cash transfers, food subsidies, and public transportation programs. Equally important is the transparent and accountable use of subsidy savings. Citizens must be able to understand, in tangible and verifiable ways, how billions of dollars saved are being directed into projects that improve daily life, such as better roads, lower-cost healthcare, expanded educational opportunities, or reliable power supplies. Without this visible reinvestment, the shift risks being perceived as yet another sacrifice required of citizens, with no corresponding government accountability.

At the macroeconomic level, coordinated policy responses are critical. Fiscal and monetary policy must work together to keep prices stable, manage exchange rate volatility, and prevent consumer purchasing power from eroding. Simultaneously, the government must prioritize productive investments, particularly in agriculture, energy, and manufacturing, to reduce structural barriers and ensure that long-term economic success overcomes the short-term challenges of transition. Improving domestic refining capacity will also help to reduce reliance on imported petroleum, buffer the economy from external shocks, and create jobs.

Finally, subsidy reform's long-term viability is both political and economic. Public acceptance is dependent on trust in government, which can only be established by open communication, stakeholder involvement, and demonstrated benefits in citizens' lives. As a result, the government must establish a strategic public communication plan that includes clearly explaining policy choices, engaging with unions and civic society, and demonstrating empathy for those most affected by the reform.

Finally, the abolition of Nigeria's fuel subsidies is not an end in itself, but rather a critical point in the country's economic development. If handled effectively, it provides a unique opportunity to redirect national resources away from consumption subsidies and toward long-term investments that promote sustainable development, job creation, and equitable growth. If mismanaged, it risks exacerbating poverty, stoking public resentment, and undermining the very stability it was designed to provide. The challenge for Nigeria is to translate this painful but necessary shift into a basis for equal prosperity. By combining budgetary discipline with social security, transparency, and visible reinvestment, the government has the potential to transform subsidy reduction from a source of national sadness to a catalyst for long-term progress.

Recommendations

1. Eliminating fuel subsidies has immediately resulted in high inflation and a decline in real incomes, especially for low-income households that spend a bigger portion of their income on transportation and food. The government must enhance and broaden social protection programs including conditional cash transfers, food subsidies, and transpor0074ation assistance in order to cushion these populations.
2. One of the primary obstacles to Nigerian subsidy changes is still public mistrust, as many citizens question whether savings will truly benefit the populace. The government should make the use of subsidy savings more transparent in order to win back public trust. This can be accomplished by providing quarterly reports that make it evident how much has been saved and where the money is going. Furthermore, accountability will be improved and mistrust will be eradicated by establishing an impartial monitoring board with participation from business, labor unions, and civic society.
3. The removal of subsidies has resulted in inflationary pressures that threaten the stability of the economy. The government must have policies in place that coordinate monetary and fiscal actions to address this. To stop inflationary shocks from getting worse, the Central Bank could implement targeted monetary policies like concessional loans for small businesses and food producers. To reduce currency instability, which could worsen inflation, a cautious approach to exchange rate deregulation is crucial from a fiscal standpoint.
4. The degree to which the savings are reinvested determines the long-term viability of subsidy reforms. These funds should be directed toward areas that are visible, productive, and have a direct impact on people's daily lives in order to garner popular support and spur economic progress. For instance, investing in the production and transmission of electricity would lower production costs for businesses and lower household expenditures on alternative energy sources. Both manufacturers and consumers will gain from lower logistical costs brought forth by improved transportation infrastructure, such as roads and trains. Food security and price stability will be improved by assisting agriculture with irrigation projects, storage facilities, and processing enterprises. Saving money for health and education will also increase human capital and lay the groundwork for long-term, fair prosperity.
5. Changes to fuel subsidies can be politically controversial at times, and their viability mostly depends on public acceptance and confidence. The government must implement a transparent and coherent communication strategy that explains the rationale behind the decrease of subsidies, the anticipated long-term advantages, and the ways in which the savings are being put to use in order to achieve this.

References

1. Adebogun, O. B., Olatunji, O. J., Agboola, O. A. A., & Edeh, A. (2024). Subsidy Removal, Corrupt Practices and Implications on the Nigerian Economy, 2012-2023. *African Journal of Stability and Development (AJSD)*, *16*(2), 214-232.
2. Adewunmi, A. (2023). Impact of Naira Depreciation and Inflation on the Nigerian Economy.
3. Agboje, I. (2022). Macroeconomic and welfare effects of alternative fuel subsidy switch and policy draw-backs in Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Development Studies*, *15*.
4. Ahmed, F. (2024). Economic Analysis of Agricultural Subsidies: Effects on Farmers and Markets. *Frontiers in Agriculture*, *1*(2), 444-480.
5. Audi, M. (2024). Exploring fiscal dynamics between resource and non-resource tax revenues in oil-

dependent countries. *Journal of Energy and Environmental Policy Options*, *7*(4), 20-30.

6. Balogun, E. D. (2025). The short-term effects of gasoline price subsidy removal in Nigeria: an analysis of the economic and social Impacts.

7. Chris, O. N., & Ifedi, F. O. (2024). Deregulation of Downstream Oil Sector and Social Welfare in Nigeria, 2010-2022. *University of Nigeria Journal of Political Economy*, *14*(2).

8. Evans, O., Nwaogwugwu, I., Vincent, O., Wale-Awe, O., Mesagan, E., & Ojapinwa, T. (2023). The socio-economics of the 2023 fuel subsidy removal in Nigeria.

9. Gayathri, R., Vijayabanu, C., & Theresa, C. (2024). Economic Disruption and Global Obscurity–Insights and Challenges. In *Economic Uncertainty in the Post-Pandemic Era* (pp. 1-26). Routledge.

10. Greve, H., & Lay, J. (2023). “Stepping down the ladder”: the impacts of fossil fuel subsidy removal in a developing country. *Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists*, *10*(1), 121-158.

11. Gugushvili, D., & Otto, A. (2023). Determinants of public support for eco-social policies: A comparative theoretical framework. *Social Policy and Society*, *22*(1), 1-15.

12. Hemerijck, A., Ronchi, S., & Plavgo, I. (2023). Social investment as a conceptual framework for analysing well-being returns and reforms in 21st century welfare states. *Socio-Economic Review*, *21*(1), 479-500.

13. Hernandez, M., Timmis, E., Saldarriaga, M., Matta, S., Mukhtar, N., Joseph-Raji, G., Ogebe, J., & Kojima, M. (2022). Nigeria public finance review: Fiscal adjustment for better and sustainable results. *World Bank*.

14. Ibrahim, S. A. (2022). *Impact of Public Sector Financial Management Reforms on the Performance of Government Entities' in Nigeria* Kwara State University (Nigeria)].

15. Jesuola, G. D. (2024). *Impact of the Nigerian Government's Fuel Subsidy Removal: Data Analysis and Suggestions* Texas A&M University-Kingsville].

16. Lihong, Z. (2024). Fiscal policy transformation under economic crisis.

17. Makdisi, S., & Soto, R. (2023). Economic agenda for post-conflict reconstruction. In *The aftermath of the arab uprisings* (pp. 23-53). Routledge.

18. Montalvo, C., Lancaster, V., Salvo, J. J., & Shipp, S. (2024). A novel approach to assessing food insecurity for small geographic areas using household living budgets. *Food Security*, *16*(6), 1569-1586.

19. Mtibaa, A., Lahiani, A., & Gabsi, F. B. (2022). Impact of fiscal consolidation on economic growth: the Tunisian case. *The Journal of Risk Finance*, *23*(5), 558-582.

20. Muhamad, G. M. (2023). Economic diversification to reduce natural resource dependency in the literature. In *Reducing Natural Resource Dependency for Economic Growth in Resource Rich Countries* (pp. 15-53). Springer.

21. Muslim, M., Ilyas, M. F. G. B., & Sani, A. (2024). Analysis of Fiscal Policy and Financial Sustainability in Improving the Country's Economic Stability. *Vifada Management and Social Sciences*, *2*(2), 36-55.

22. Nozharov, S. (2023). Social costs of circular economy in European Union.

23. Ofori, R. O. (2023). The economic cost of fuel subsidies in Ghana. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, *87*, 101587.

24. Ogwuche, D. D., Adejor, G. A., Dabish, N. D., Garba, R. I., & Dole, F. (2024). Assessing the impact of fuel subsidy removal on economic growth in Nigeria: A VECM Approach. *Lapai Journal of Economics*, *8*(1), 1-13.

25. OHONBA, N., & Ogbeide, S. O. (2023). Impact of insurance sector development on the growth of the Nigerian economy. *African Development Finance Journal*, *6*(1), 161-175.

26. OKONKWO, A. E., & EZENWEGBU, J. C. (2024). Removal of Petrol Subsidies and its Impact for Democratic Governance in Nigeria. *Nnamdi Azikiwe Journal of Political Science*, *9*(3), 38-47.

27. Orluchukwu, G., & Lilly-Inia, T. (2024). Fuel subsidy removal in Nigeria: problems and prospects. *Journal of Policy and Development Studies*, *17*(2), 92-108.

28. Osuoha, C. A. (2023). The Keynesian stimulus model: stimulating economic activities with direct transfers. *Research in Applied Economics*, *15*(1), 1.

29. Percy, N. A., & Gloria, A. O. (2024). Fiscal policy and alternatives to subsidy removal in Nigeria. *FULafia International Journal of Business and Allied Studies*, *2*(4), 164-187.

30. Ren, X., Liu, Z., & Jin, H. (2024). Fiscal consolidation in China: a dynamic computable general equilibrium analysis. *Applied Economics*, *56*(1), 59-80.

31. Richard, O. O. External Shocks and Business Cycles in Nigeria: Accounting for the Roles of Domestic Oil-Refining Alternative and Oil Price Fiscal Rule.

32. Sambo, U., & Sule, B. (2024). Killing the economy: the political economy of fuel subsidy regime and oil corruption in Nigeria. In *Economic growth and development in the tropics* (pp. 159-175). Routledge.

33. Simbasiva, B., Palla, A., & Devarajulu, M. (2023). Rural Dynamics in India: A Study of Inclusive Growth and Infrastructure Developments. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, *5*(3).

34. Uwak, U., Ekpenyong, E., & Ebong, E. (2024). Politics of Fuel Subsidy Regime in Nigeria and Its Implications: An Assessment of President Bola Ahmed Tinubu's Administration. *Journal of Policy and Development Studies*, *17*(1), 54-80.

35. Yunusa, E., Yakubu, Y., Emeje, Y. A., Ibrahim, Y., Stephen, E., & Egbunu, D. (2023). Fuel subsidy removal and poverty in Nigeria: A literature review. *GPH-International Journal of Applied Management Science*, *4*(09), 14-27.